

Prevalence of Antibiotic Resistant Pathogens in Post-Orthopedic Implant Site

Akkad Rafiq¹, Ahsan-ul-Haq¹, Abdul Hannan² and Asad Ali Choudhary³

Antibiotic
Resistant
Pathogens in
Post-Orthopedic
Implant

ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess the frequency of infective organisms and their changing antibiotic sensitivity trends in surgical site infection after orthopedic implant surgeries.

Study Design: Cross sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at the Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Divisional HQ Hospital Mirpur AJK from January 2018 to December 2018.

Materials and Methods: Ninety six patients of both genders and age between 16-80 years with surgical site infection after elective surgeries were included. Patients' demographics were recorded. Type of most common pathogen and its sensitivity pattern were recorded.

Results: The mean age of patients was 45.93 ± 10.58 years. There were 51 (53.1%) males and 45 (46.9%) females. There was *Staphylococcus aureus* was found in 33 (33.96%) cases, MRSA was found in 18 (18.75%) cases, *E. coli* was found in 15 (15.63%) cases. Ceftriaxone was sensitive in 88 (91.7%) cultures, Ampicillin was sensitive in 62 (64.6%) cultures, and Metronidazole was sensitive in 58 (61.4%) cultures.

Conclusion: The frequency of *Staphylococcus aureus* was found to be most common pathogen in SSI and ceftriaxone was most sensitive antibiotic for SSI management.

Key Words: Surgical site infection, Pathogen, Antibiotic sensitivity

Citation of article: Rafiq A, Haq A, Hannan A, Choudhary AA. Prevalence of Antibiotic Resistant Pathogens in Post-Orthopedic Implant Site. Med Forum 2019;30(3):18-20.

INTRODUCTION

Infections caused by antibiotic-resistant pathogens are a major public health concern, and their treatment can be challenging.¹ The increasing rates of orthopedic surgery across many countries emphasize the importance of implementing strategies to minimize the risk of surgical site infection.^{2,3} In advance trauma and orthopaedic surgery device related infections remains a major complication.⁴ The SSI was reported 3.8%, which is below the reported worldwide incidence of 2.6% to 41.9%.^{5,6} Despite best practice in medical and surgical management, neither prophylaxis nor treatment of orthopedic device-related infection is effective in all cases, and can lead to infections that negatively impact clinical outcome and significantly increase healthcare expenditure.⁷

¹. Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, 1,2 Mohtarma Benazir Bhutto Shaheed Medical College Mirpur AJK.

². Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Lahore/Al-Khidmat Teaching Hospital, Mansoorah Lahore.

³. Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Combined Military Hospital Lahore.

Correspondence: Dr. Akkad Rafiq, Assistant Professor of Orthopaedic Surgery, Mohtarma Benazir Bhutto Shaheed Medical College, Mirpur AJK.

Contact No: 0342-2111171

Email: drakkad@gmail.com

Received: January, 2019

Accepted: February, 2019

Printed: March, 2019

In developing countries like Pakistan hospitalization still suffers lack of proper surgical instrument sterilization resulting into various pathogen growth at SSI. The present study will help in assessing the prevalence of such pathogens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross sectional study was done from 1st January 2018 to 31st December 2018 at Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Divisional HQ Hospital Mir AJK and comprised 96 patients of surgical site infection. Patients of age 16-80 years, of either gender underwent surgery for bony fractures and developed SSI were included. Patients with diabetes, osteomalacia and patients on antibiotics for previous infections were excluded from the study. Written informed consent was taken from each case. Demographic and clinical details as name, age, sex, duration of surgery, symptoms and infection site involved were obtained. Patients were evaluated for infection and pus sample was obtained under aseptic measures and sent to the hospital laboratory for reporting. Reports were assessed and type of pathogen found in culture and its antibiotics sensitivity was noted. All the collected data was then analyzed through SPSS version 21.

RESULTS

The mean age of patients was 45.93 ± 10.58 years. There were 51 (53.1%) males and 45 (46.9%) females. The mean duration of surgery was 23.84 ± 11.35 days. In the sample, 44 had femur fracture, 23 had humerus

fracture, 17 tibial fractures, 9 had radial fracture and 3 had fibula fracture (Table 1). Out of 96, *Staphylococcus aureus* was found in 33 (33.96%) cases, MRSA was found in 18 (18.75%) cases, *E. Coli* was found in 15 (15.63%) cases, *Pseudomonas A* was found in 12 (12.5%) cases, BHS group A in 10 (10.4%) cases, *Enterococcus* in 9 (9.4%) and *salmonella* in 9 (9.4%) cases (Table 2). Different antibiotics were applied on pus culture. Ceftriaxone was sensitive in 88 (91.7%) cultures, Ampicillin was sensitive in 62 (64.6%) cultures, Metronidazole was sensitive in 58 (61.4%) cultures, Gentamicin was sensitive in 29 (30.2%) cultures while cefoxitin was sensitive in 13 (13.5%) cultures (Table 3).

Table No.1: Characteristics of patients (n=96)

Age	45.93±10.58 years
Gender (Male / Female)	51 (53.1%) / 45 (46.9%)
Duration of surgery	23.84±11.35 days
Site of fracture	
Femur	44
Humerus	23
Tibial	17
Radius	9
Fibula	3

Table No.2: Pathogens found in culture

Bacteria	No. (%)
Staph Aureus	33 (33.96%)
MRSA	18 (18.75%)
E. Coli	15 (15.63%)
Pseudomonas A	12 (12.5%)
BHS Group A	10 (10.4%)
Enterococcus	9 (9.4%)
Salmonella	9 (9.4%)

Table No.3: Antibiotic sensitivity of pathogens

Antibiotic	Sensitive
Ceftriaxone	88 (91.7%)
Ampicillin	62 (64.6%)
Metronidazole	58 (61.4%)
Gentamicin	29 (30.2%)
Cefoxitin	13 (13.5%)

DISCUSSION

The most prevalent species in orthopaedic device-related infection are *Staphylococci*.^{8,9} *Staphylococcus aureus* accounts for between 20% and 30% of cases of infection after fracture fixation and prosthetic joint infections, with coagulase-negative staphylococci accounting for 20–40% of cases, including small colony variants.¹⁰

The most common isolated infective organism was *Staphylococcus* species including Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* 33 (33.2%), MRSA 18 (18.75%), *Pseudomonas* 12 (12.5%), *Enterococcus* species in 9 (9.4%) and *Escherichia coli* in 15 (15.6%). There were

53 patients (72.6%) infected by a single organism, (21.9%) by two infecting organisms, and 4 (5.5%) patients infected by more than two organisms.¹¹ In all patients who had two or more organisms, *Staphylococcus aureus* was the common organism. The following prophylactic antibiotics were used: ampicillin, gentamicin, cefoxitin, metronidazole and ceftriaxone.¹²

The Gram-positive cocci including *Streptococci* (1–10%) and *Enterococci* (3–7%) are less frequently encountered. Infection caused by Gram-negative bacilli, including *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Enterobacteriaceae* account for approximately 6–17% and anaerobes (including *Propionibacteria* and *Peptostreptococci*) are comparatively rare at approximately 4–5%.^{8–12} Shoulder orthopedic device-related infections, however, may have higher *Propionibacterium acnes* prevalence, at up to 38%.¹³ Recently more attention has been focused upon polymicrobial infections, which may account for 10–20% of cases.^{8,9,12} Furthermore, studies using molecular diagnostic techniques indicate that, in addition, there is a significant proportion (5–34%) of culture-negative infections.^{14,15}

Others are anaerobes, gram-negative bacilli such as *Pseudomonas* species or *E. coli*, and especially in hematogenous infections streptococci.^{16,17} Tunney et al¹⁸ isolated *Propionibacterium* species in 60% of orthopedic device-related infections by using strict anaerobic bacteriologic practices during the processing of samples considered associated with orthopedic device-related infections. *Propionibacterium* species are the second most frequent contaminant found in joint aspiration.¹⁹

Hidayatullah et al²⁰ found that *Staphylococcus aureus* (13 cases) including MRSA (5 cases) was the most common infecting organism in our study, involving 18 (50%) patients. Other studies show the frequency of *Staphylococcus aureus* to be 25–29%.^{21,22} The frequency of MRSA among *Staphylococcus aureus* was 27.8% (5 out of 18). *Staphylococcus aureus* was most sensitive to fusidic acid, vancomycin, linezolid, clindamycin and erythromycin. There was a mixed sensitivity pattern to gentamicin, cotrimoxazole and oxacillin. In majority of cases *Staphylococcus aureus* was resistant to penicillin, levofloxacin and tetracycline.²⁰

CONCLUSION

The frequency of *Staphylococcus aureus* and MRSA were most common pathogens in SSI and ceftriaxone, ampicillin and metronidazole were most sensitive antibiotic for SSI management. Now in future, we can implement the use of ceftriaxone, ampicillin and metronidazole for management of SSI keeping in mind most common pathogens.

Author's Contribution:

Concept & Design of Study: Akkad Rafiq
 Drafting: Ahsan-ul-Haq
 Data Analysis: Abdul Hannan, Asad Ali Choudhary
 Revisiting Critically: Akkad Rafiq, Ahsan-ul-Haq
 Final Approval of version: Akkad Rafiq

Conflict of Interest: The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

- Vergidis P, Schmidt-Malan SM, Mandrekar JN, Steckelberg JM, Patel R. Comparative activities of vancomycin, tigecycline and rifampin in a rat model of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* osteomyelitis. *J Infect* 2015;70(6):609-15.
- Kassavin DS PL, Goldfarb MA. Surgical site infections: incidence and trends at a community teaching hospital. *Am J Surg* 2011;201:749-53.
- Pronovost P ND, Berenholtz S, et al. An intervention to decrease catheter-related bloodstream infections in the ICU. *N Engl J Med* 2006;355:2725-32.
- Tsaras G OD, Mabry T, et al. Incidence, secular trends, and outcomes of prosthetic joint infection: a population-based study, olmsted county, Minnesota, 1969-2007. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2012;33:1207-12.
- Lilani SP JN, Chowdhary A, Daver GB. Surgical site infection in clean and clean-contaminated cases. *Indian J Med Microbiol* 2005;23:249-52.
- Skarżyńska J CA, Madry R, et al. Hospital infections in general surgery wards. *Przegl Epidemiol* 2000; 54:299-304.
- Poultides LA LL, Malizos KN. The socioeconomic impact of musculoskeletal infections. *J Bone Joint Surg [Am]* 2010;92:e13.
- Corvec S PM, Pasticci BM, Borens O, Trampuz A. Epidemiology and new developments in the diagnosis of prosthetic joint infection. *Int J Artif Organs* 2012; 35:923-34
- Montanaro L SP, Campoccia D, et al. Scenery of *Staphylococcus* implant infections in orthopedics. *Future Microbiol* 2011;6:1329-49
- Tande AJ OD, Greenwood-Quaintance KE, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of prosthetic joint infection caused by small colony variant *staphylococci*. *MBio* 2014;5:e01910-14.
- Bachoura A GT, Smith RM, Vrahas MS, Zurakowski D, Ring D. Infirmary and injury complexity are risk factors for surgical-site infection after operative fracture care. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2011;469:2621-2630.
- Mardanpour K, Rahbar M, Mardanpour S, Mardanpour N. Surgical site infections in orthopedic surgery: incidence and risk factors at an Iranian teaching hospital. *Clin Trials Orthop Disord* 2017; 2(4):132-7.
- Achermann Y SF, Schwyzer HK, et al. Characteristics and outcome of 16 periprosthetic shoulder joint infections. *Infection* 2013;41: 613-20.
- Hoiby N BT, Moser C, et al. ESCMID guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of biofilm infections 2014. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2015;21 Suppl 1:S1-25.
- Parvizi J EO, Della Valle CJ. Culture-negative periprosthetic joint infection. *J Bone Joint Surg [Am]* 2014;96:430-6.
- Steckelberg JM, Osmon DR, Bisno AL, Waldvogel FA. Prosthetic joint infections, Infections associated with indwelling medical devices. Washington DC: Am Society for Microbiol 1994; 59-90.
- Tattevin P, Cremieux AC, Pottier P, et al. Prosthetic joint infection: when can prosthesis salvage be considered? *Clin Infect Dis* 1999; 29:292-5.
- Tunney MM, Patrick S, Curran MD, et al. Detection of prosthetic hip infection at revision arthroplasty by immunofluorescence microscopy and PCR amplification of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene. *J Clin Microbiol* 1999;37:3281-90.
- Barrack RL, Harris WH. The value of aspiration of the hip joint before revision total hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1993;75:66-76.
- Hidayatullah, Siraj M, Ali A, Khan MAJ, Khan MS, Askar Z. Infective Organisms and their Changing Antibiotic Sensitivity Trends in Infections Occurring in Orthopaedics Implant Surgery. *JPOA* 2018;30(1):1-3.
- Al-Mulhim FA BM, Sadat-Ali M, Alomran AS, Azam MQ. Prevalence of surgical site infection in orthopedic surgery: a 5-year analysis. *Int Surg* 2014;99(3):264-8.
- Phillips JE CT, Noy M, Elliott TS, Grimer RJ. The incidence of deep prosthetic infections in a specialist orthopaedic hospital. *Bone Joint J* 2006; 88(7):943-8.