Original Article

Early Outcome of Hypoxic

Ischemic Encephalopathy in Neonates

Ischemic Encephalopathy in Neonates Born at Nazeer Hussain Medical Complex Hyderabad

Deve Dass¹, Muhammad Nadeem Chohan¹ and Jai Parkas²

ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess the early outcome of Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy in neonates born at Nazeer Hussain Medical Complex Hyderabad

Study Design: Descriptive / cross sectional study

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at the Neonatal Ward of Nazeer Hussain Medical Complex, Hyderabad from January to December 2016.

Materials and Methods: This study was conducted to know the early outcome of birth asphyxia in neonates born at this hospital. Inclusion criteria of our study were all newborn (term, preterm or post-term) with history of perinatal asphyxia or APGAR score at 5 minutes, < 7, or delayed crying after birth or > 10 minutes resuscitation soon after birth. Neonates having lethal anomalies like hydrops fetalis, cyanotic heart defects and congenital malformations were excluded from study. Severity of Asphyxia was assessed by the grading of Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy. APGAR score 5-7 was labeled as mild asphyxia, 3-5 as moderate asphyxia and <3 as severe Asphyxia.

Results. In this study mostly male neonates were there 92.2% (Table 1). Most common of admission was \leq 2 days 51.2% (Table 1). Most of the neonates were \geq 37 weeks gestational age 48.8% (Table 1). Grade 1 Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy was most common 41.5% (Table 2). Most of the mothers had age between 25 to 35 years 90.2% (Table 2). Most mothers had the history of multigravida 53.7% (Table 2). Most common fetal presentation was cephalic 80.5% (Table 2). 56.1% neonates born by C-section (Table 2). Only 7.3% mothers had history of prolonged labor (Table 2). 33% neonates died due to Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy (Table 3).

Conclusion: In this study most common type was grade 1 Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy 37.1%. Grade 3 HIE was least common but death rate was more in it 21.9%. Death was least common in grade 1 HIE 4.26%.

Key Words: Birth Asphyxia, Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy, APGAR Score

Citation of articles: Dass D, Chohan MN, Parkas J. Early Outcome of Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy in Neonates Born at Nazeer Hussain Medical Complex Hyderabad. Med Forum 2017;28(8):21-24.

INTRODUCTION

Birth asphyxia may cause death or Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy when there is an insult to newborn, during intrauterine life, during birth process or soon after birth. It is due to failure to initiate or inadequate breathing, leading to decreased oxygenation to various organs¹. Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy occurs when there is hypoxemia (lack of oxygen) and hypercapnia (accumulation of carbon dioxide), combination of this decrease in oxygen supply (hypoxia) and blood supply (ischemia) results in neuronal cell death and brain damage ².

Birth asphyxia is defined as, Umbilical cord arterial pH<7 or Apgar score of 0 to 3 for longer than 5 minutes

Correspondence: Dr. Muhammad Nadeem Chohan, Assistant Professor of Pediatrics LUMHS, Jamshoro, Sindh.

Accepted: June 06, 2017

Contact No: 03340397861

Received: May 03, 2017;

Email: nadeem.chohan@lumhs.edu.pk

_____**:**

after birth or Neurological manifestations like seizures, coma, or hypotonia after birth or Multisystem organ dysfunction like cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, hematological, pulmonary, or renal system after birth (American Academy of Pediatrics) ⁶.

Of the estimated 130 million infants born each year worldwide³, 4 million die in the first 28 days of life. Neonatal deaths account for 40% of deaths under the age of 5 years worldwide. Two-thirds of the world's neonatal deaths occur in just 10 countries, mostly in Asia. Pakistan is number three among these countries. With an estimated 298 000 neonatal deaths annually and a reported neonatal mortality rate of 49 per 1000 live births, Pakistan accounts for 7% of global neonatal deaths⁴. Infection (36%), preterm birth (28%) and birth asphyxia (23%) account for 87% of neonatal deaths worldwide⁵. Acute neurologic injury due to birth asphyxia is less common in developed countries (2 to 3 cases per 1000 term live births) while it is higher in developing countries⁷.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A descriptive cross sectional study was conducted from January to December 2016 at the Neonatal Ward of

^{1.} Department of Pediatrics, LUMHS, Jamshoro.

^{2.} Department of Pediatric Neonatology, National Institute of Child Health, Karachi.

Nazeer Hussain Medical Complex to know the early outcome of birth asphyxia in neonates born at this hospital. The Obstetric unit of this hospital receives pregnant women from city and beyond. Neonates are resuscitated by nursing staff, trained in neonatal resuscitation. In case of high risk deliveries, consultant Pediatrics receives and resuscitates the newborn.

Inclusion criteria of our study were all newborn (term, preterm or post-term) with history of perinatal asphyxia or APGAR score at 5 minutes, < 7, or delayed crying after birth or > 10 minutes resuscitation soon after birth. Neonates having lethal anomalies like hydrops fetalis, cyanotic heart defects and congenital malformations were excluded from study. Severity of Asphyxia was assessed by the grading of Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy. APGAR score 5-7 was labeled as mild asphyxia, 3-5 as moderate asphyxia and <3 as severe Asphyxia ¹⁰.

For the Nazeer Hussain Medical Complex born babies we have a nursery and Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. All delivered babies were received by senior nursing staff trained in the neonatal resuscitation. Resuscitation was given to neonates who failed to develop spontaneous breathing. Soon after delivery stop watch switched on to note the duration of resuscitation until the infant had good respiratory efforts along with a heart rate >100 beats. If there was no spontaneous breathing 20 minutes after birth then no more efforts taken and resuscitation stopped (American Heart Association). 11 At 5 minutes after birth if babies had APGAR score >7 then they were transferred to postnatal ward for observation for 12 hours and then discharged. Newborns whose Apgar score remained < 7 at 5 minutes after birth were transferred to neonatal ward for further management.

Admitted babies were examined by doctor at 6, 24 and 48 hours of age. Babies' length, weight and FOC were taken and temperature was recorded, while presence of pallor and cyanosis was also noted. Respiratory rate counted in one minutes and examination done for subcostal recessions. Neonates who were irritable hyper alert, mild hypotonic, and sucking poorly were graded as HIE grade 1(mild); neonates who were lethargic, had seizures, marked abnormalities of tone, and had requirement of tube feeding were graded as HIE grade 11 (moderate) and neonates who were in coma, had prolonged seizures, severe hypotonic, failed to maintain spontaneous respiration were classified as HIE grade 111 (severe) (Sarnat 8).12 At 72 hours of admission early outcome was recorded and was measured as clinical improvement or presence of Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy or Data was analyzed by using SPSS version 22. Frequency of birth asphyxia was measured as percentage.

RESULTS

In this study mostly male neonates were there 92.2% (Table 1). Most common of admission was ≤ 2 days 51.2% (Table 1). Most of the neonates were ≥ 37 weeks gestational age 48.8% (Table 1). Grade 1 Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy was most common 41.5% (Table 2). Most of the mothers had age between 25 to 35 years 90.2% (Table 2). Most mothers had the history of multigravida 53.7% (Table 2). Most common fetal presentation was cephalic 80.5% (Table 2). 56.1% neonates born by C-section (Table 2). Only 7.3% mothers had history of prolonged labor (Table 2). 33% neonates died due to Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy (Table 3).

Table No.1: Characteristics of Newborn N=164

| Characteristics | No of Patients | % | | |
|-----------------|----------------|-------|--|--|
| Gender | | | | |
| Male | 148 | 90.2% | | |
| Female | 16 | 9.8% | | |
| Age | | | | |
| ≤2 days | 84 | 51.2% | | |
| 3-5 days | 72 | 43.9% | | |
| > 5 days | 8 | 4.9% | | |
| Gestational Age | | | | |
| ≥ 37 weeks | 80 | 48.8% | | |
| 34- 36 weeks | 44 | 26.8% | | |
| <34 weeks | 40 | 24.4% | | |

Table No, 2: HIE Staging and Risk factors

| Table No. 2. IIIE | No.of | % |
|----------------------|----------|-------|
| | Patients | 7.0 |
| HIE Staging | | |
| Grade I | 68 | 41.5% |
| Grade II | 56 | 34.1% |
| Grade III | 40 | 24.4% |
| Maternal Age | | |
| < 25 years | 12 | 7.3% |
| 25- 35 years | 148 | 90.2% |
| >35 years | 4 | 2.5% |
| Anesthesia | | |
| Spinal | 64 | 69.6% |
| General | 28 | 30.4% |
| Gravida | | |
| Multi | 88 | 53.7% |
| Primary | 76 | 46.3% |
| Fetal Presentation | on | |
| Cephalic | 132 | 80.5% |
| Breach | 32 | 19.5% |
| Mode of Deliver | y | |
| C-Sect | 92 | 56.1% |
| NVD | 72 | 43.9% |
| Prolong Labor | | |
| Yes | 12 | 7.3% |
| No | 152 | 92.7% |

| Table | Nο. | 3: | Outcome |
|-------|------|----|---------|
| Lanc | INO, | J. | Outcome |

| Table No, 3: | Outcome | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | HIE staging | | | | | |
| | I | II | III | | | |
| Outcome | | | | | | |
| Improved | 60 (37.1%) | 44 (26.8%) | 4 (2.43%) | | | |
| Death | 7 (4.26%) | 12 (7.31%) | 36 (21.9%) | | | |
| Anesthesia | | | | | | |
| General | 16(9.7%) | 8(4.8%) | 4(2.4%) | | | |
| Spinal | 28(17%) | 24(14.6%) | 12(7.3%) | | | |
| No | 24(14.6%) | 24(14.6%) | 20(12.1%) | | | |
| Presentation of fetus | | | | | | |
| breech | 20(12.1%) | 8(4.8%) | 4(2.4%) | | | |
| cephalic | 48(29.2%) | 48(29.2%) | 36(21.9%) | | | |
| Mode of delivery | | | | | | |
| C-Section | 48(29.2%) | 28(17%) | 16(9.7%) | | | |
| Normal Delivery | 20(12.1%) | 28(17%) | 24(14.6%) | | | |
| History of pi | rolonged labor | | | | | |
| Yes | 8(4.8%) | 0 | 4(2.4%) | | | |
| No | 60(37.1%) | 56(31.7%) | 36(21.9%) | | | |
| Prolapsed un | nbilical cord | , | , , , | | | |
| Yes | 0 | 4(2.4%) | 0 | | | |
| No | 68 41.6 | 52 31.7 | 40(24.3%) | | | |
| | ic disproportion | | - (| | | |
| Yes | 0 | 4 (2.4%) | 0 | | | |
| No | 68(41.6%) | 52(31.7%) | 40(24.3%) | | | |
| Maternal hy | | 32(31.770) | 10(21.370) | | | |
| Yes | 0 | 4 (2.4%) | 0 | | | |
| No | - | | | | | |
| | hX(41 h%) | 52(31.7%) | 1 40(74 3%) | | | |
| 110 | 68(41.6%) | 52(31.7%) HIE staging | 40(24.3%) | | | |
| INU | | HIE staging | , , , , | | | |
| | I | HIE staging II | III | | | |
| Premature r | I upture of meml | HIE staging II pranes (PROM) | III) | | | |
| Premature r Yes | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) | HIE staging II pranes (PROM) 16 (9.7%) | III) 12 (7.3%) | | | |
| Premature r Yes No | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) 32 (19.5%) | HIE staging II pranes (PROM) | III) | | | |
| Premature r Yes No Maternal Fe | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) 32 (19.5%) ver | HIE staging II Dranes (PROM) 16 (9.7%) 40 (24.3%) | III) 12 (7.3%) 28 (17%) | | | |
| Premature r Yes No Maternal Fe Yes | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) 32 (19.5%) ver 8 (4.8%) | HIE staging II Dranes (PROM 16 (9.7%) 40 (24.3%) 12 (7.3%) | III) 12 (7.3%) 28 (17%) 20 (12.1%) | | | |
| Premature r Yes No Maternal Fe Yes No | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) 32 (19.5%) ver 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) | HIE staging II Dranes (PROM) 16 (9.7%) 40 (24.3%) | III) 12 (7.3%) 28 (17%) | | | |
| Premature r Yes No Maternal Fe Yes No Multiple birt | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) 32 (19.5%) ver 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) ths | HIE staging II Dranes (PROM 16 (9.7%) 40 (24.3%) 12 (7.3%) 44 (26.8%) | III) 12 (7.3%) 28 (17%) 20 (12.1%) 20 (12.1%) | | | |
| Premature r Yes No Maternal Fe Yes No Multiple birt | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) 32 (19.5%) ver 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) ths 8 (4.8%) | HIE staging II Dranes (PROM 16 (9.7%) 40 (24.3%) 12 (7.3%) 44 (26.8%) 8 (4.8%) | III) 12 (7.3%) 28 (17%) 20 (12.1%) 20 (12.1%) 4 (2.4%) | | | |
| Premature r Yes No Maternal Fe Yes No Multiple birt Yes No | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) 32 (19.5%) ver 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) ths 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) | HIE staging II Dranes (PROM 16 (9.7%) 40 (24.3%) 12 (7.3%) 44 (26.8%) | III) 12 (7.3%) 28 (17%) 20 (12.1%) 20 (12.1%) | | | |
| Premature r Yes No Maternal Fe Yes No Multiple birt Yes No Polyhydram | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) 32 (19.5%) ver 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) ths 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) nios | HIE staging II Dranes (PROM 16 (9.7%) 40 (24.3%) 12 (7.3%) 44 (26.8%) 8 (4.8%) 48 (29.2%) | III) 28 (17%) 20 (12.1%) 20 (12.1%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) | | | |
| Premature r Yes No Maternal Fe Yes No Multiple birt Yes No Polyhydram Yes | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) 32 (19.5%) ver 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) ths 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) nios | HIE staging II Dranes (PROM 16 (9.7%) 40 (24.3%) 12 (7.3%) 44 (26.8%) 8 (4.8%) 48 (29.2%) 4 (2.4%) | III) 28 (17%) 20 (12.1%) 20 (12.1%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) | | | |
| Premature r Yes No Maternal Fe Yes No Multiple birt Yes No Polyhydram Yes No | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) 32 (19.5%) ver 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) ths 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) nios 0 68 (41.6%) | HIE staging II Dranes (PROM 16 (9.7%) 40 (24.3%) 12 (7.3%) 44 (26.8%) 8 (4.8%) 48 (29.2%) | III) 28 (17%) 20 (12.1%) 20 (12.1%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) | | | |
| Premature r Yes No Maternal Fe Yes No Multiple birt Yes No Polyhydram Yes No Oligohydran | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) 32 (19.5%) ver 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) ths 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) nios 0 68 (41.6%) | HIE staging II Dranes (PROM) 16 (9.7%) 40 (24.3%) 12 (7.3%) 44 (26.8%) 8 (4.8%) 48 (29.2%) 4 (2.4%) 52 (31.7%) | III) 28 (17%) 20 (12.1%) 20 (12.1%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) | | | |
| Premature r Yes No Maternal Fe Yes No Multiple birt Yes No Polyhydram Yes No Oligohydran Yes | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) 32 (19.5%) ver 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) ths 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) nios 0 68 (41.6%) nnios 4 (2.4%) | HIE staging II Dranes (PROM) 16 (9.7%) 40 (24.3%) 12 (7.3%) 44 (26.8%) 8 (4.8%) 48 (29.2%) 4 (2.4%) 52 (31.7%) 4 (2.4%) | III) 28 (17%) 20 (12.1%) 20 (12.1%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) 4 (2.4%) 4 (2.4%) | | | |
| Premature r Yes No Maternal Fe Yes No Multiple birt Yes No Polyhydram Yes No Oligohydran Yes No | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) 32 (19.5%) ver 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) ths 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) mios 0 68 (41.6%) mnios 4 (2.4%) 64 (39%) | HIE staging II Dranes (PROM) 16 (9.7%) 40 (24.3%) 12 (7.3%) 44 (26.8%) 8 (4.8%) 48 (29.2%) 4 (2.4%) 52 (31.7%) 4 (2.4%) 52 (31.7%) | III) 28 (17%) 20 (12.1%) 20 (12.1%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) | | | |
| Premature r Yes No Maternal Fe Yes No Multiple birt Yes No Polyhydram Yes No Oligohydran Yes No Meconium-s | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) 32 (19.5%) ver 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) ths 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) nios 0 68 (41.6%) nnios 4 (2.4%) | HIE staging II Dranes (PROM) 16 (9.7%) 40 (24.3%) 12 (7.3%) 44 (26.8%) 8 (4.8%) 48 (29.2%) 4 (2.4%) 52 (31.7%) 4 (2.4%) 52 (31.7%) | III) 28 (17%) 28 (17%) 20 (12.1%) 20 (12.1%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) | | | |
| Premature r Yes No Maternal Fe Yes No Multiple birt Yes No Polyhydram Yes No Oligohydran Yes No Meconium-s | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) 32 (19.5%) ver 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) ths 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) nios 0 68 (41.6%) nnios 4 (2.4%) 64 (39%) tained amniotic | HIE staging II Dranes (PROM 16 (9.7%) 40 (24.3%) 12 (7.3%) 44 (26.8%) 8 (4.8%) 48 (29.2%) 4 (2.4%) 52 (31.7%) 4 (2.4%) 52 (31.7%) fluid | III) 28 (17%) 28 (17%) 20 (12.1%) 20 (12.1%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) 4 (2.4%) | | | |
| Premature r Yes No Maternal Fe Yes No Multiple birt Yes No Polyhydram Yes No Oligohydran Yes No Meconium-s Yes | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) 32 (19.5%) ver 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) ths 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) nios 0 68 (41.6%) 4 (2.4%) 64 (39%) tained amniotic | HIE staging II Dranes (PROM 16 (9.7%) 40 (24.3%) 12 (7.3%) 44 (26.8%) 8 (4.8%) 48 (29.2%) 4 (2.4%) 52 (31.7%) 4 (2.4%) 52 (31.7%) fluid 56 (31.7%) | III) 28 (17%) 28 (17%) 20 (12.1%) 20 (12.1%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) | | | |
| Premature r Yes No Maternal Fe Yes No Multiple birt Yes No Polyhydram Yes No Oligohydran Yes No Meconium-s Yes No Abnormal fe | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) 32 (19.5%) ver 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) ths 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) mios 0 68 (41.6%) mios 4 (2.4%) 64 (39%) tained amniotic 68 (41.6%) tal heart rate o | HIE staging II Dranes (PROM 16 (9.7%) 40 (24.3%) 12 (7.3%) 44 (26.8%) 8 (4.8%) 48 (29.2%) 4 (2.4%) 52 (31.7%) 4 (2.4%) 52 (31.7%) fluid 56 (31.7%) r rhythm | III) 28 (17%) 28 (17%) 20 (12.1%) 20 (12.1%) 36 (21.9%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) | | | |
| Premature r Yes No Maternal Fe Yes No Multiple birt Yes No Polyhydram Yes No Oligohydran Yes No Meconium-s Yes No Abnormal fe | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) 32 (19.5%) ver 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) ths 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) nios 0 68 (41.6%) mios 4 (2.4%) 64 (39%) tained amniotic 68 (41.6%) tal heart rate of 12 (7.3%) | HIE staging II Dranes (PROM 16 (9.7%) 40 (24.3%) 12 (7.3%) 44 (26.8%) 8 (4.8%) 48 (29.2%) 4 (2.4%) 52 (31.7%) 4 (2.4%) 52 (31.7%) fluid 56 (31.7%) r rhythm 12 (7.3%) | III) 28 (17%) 28 (17%) 20 (12.1%) 20 (12.1%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) | | | |
| Premature r Yes No Maternal Fe Yes No Multiple birt Yes No Polyhydram Yes No Oligohydran Yes No Meconium-s Yes No Abnormal fe | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) 32 (19.5%) ver 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) ths 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) mios 0 68 (41.6%) mios 4 (2.4%) 64 (39%) tained amniotic 68 (41.6%) tal heart rate of 12 (7.3%) 56 (31.7%) | HIE staging II Dranes (PROM 16 (9.7%) 40 (24.3%) 12 (7.3%) 44 (26.8%) 8 (4.8%) 48 (29.2%) 4 (2.4%) 52 (31.7%) 4 (2.4%) 52 (31.7%) fluid 56 (31.7%) r rhythm | III) 28 (17%) 28 (17%) 20 (12.1%) 20 (12.1%) 36 (21.9%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) | | | |
| Premature r Yes No Maternal Fe Yes No Multiple birt Yes No Polyhydram Yes No Oligohydram Yes No Meconium-s Yes No Abnormal fe Yes No Premature d | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) 32 (19.5%) ver 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) ths 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) mios 0 68 (41.6%) 44 (2.4%) 64 (39%) tained amniotic 68 (41.6%) tal heart rate of 12 (7.3%) 56 (31.7%) elivery | HIE staging II Dranes (PROM 16 (9.7%) 40 (24.3%) 12 (7.3%) 44 (26.8%) 8 (4.8%) 48 (29.2%) 4 (2.4%) 52 (31.7%) 4 (2.4%) 52 (31.7%) fluid 12 (7.3%) 52 (31.7%) r rhythm 12 (7.3%) 52 (31.7%) | III) 28 (17%) 28 (17%) 20 (12.1%) 20 (12.1%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) 12 (7.3%) 28 (17%) | | | |
| Premature r Yes No Maternal Fe Yes No Multiple birt Yes No Polyhydram Yes No Oligohydran Yes No Meconium-s Yes No Abnormal fe | I upture of meml 36 (21.9%) 32 (19.5%) ver 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) ths 8 (4.8%) 60 (37.1%) mios 0 68 (41.6%) mios 4 (2.4%) 64 (39%) tained amniotic 68 (41.6%) tal heart rate of 12 (7.3%) 56 (31.7%) | HIE staging II Dranes (PROM 16 (9.7%) 40 (24.3%) 12 (7.3%) 44 (26.8%) 8 (4.8%) 48 (29.2%) 4 (2.4%) 52 (31.7%) 4 (2.4%) 52 (31.7%) fluid 56 (31.7%) r rhythm 12 (7.3%) | III) 28 (17%) 28 (17%) 20 (12.1%) 20 (12.1%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) 4 (2.4%) 36 (21.9%) | | | |

DISCUSSION

Our study showed 21.9% neonates died due to HIE grade 3 and it was present in 24.4% neonates while, only 2.4% expired due to HIE grade 1 and its frequency

was 41.5%. Over all death rate was 33%. A different local study from Rawalpindi showed Stage II HIE, was most frequent i.e. 55.6% while stage III cases were 26.1% and stage I was least frequent 18.3%. 40.8% neonates expired ⁸. There was no effect of parity on Asphyxia. A death rate was high in this study because in this study neonates were both, hospital and home born, while in our study all neonates were hospital born Another local study from Lahore showed, Hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy stage I (39.3%), II (40%) and III (11.5%). 78.7% babies were discharged and 21.3% died⁹. The death rate was lower in this study as compare to our study (33%), and this may be due to decreased number of Grade 3 HIE neonates in this study.

Another similar local study from Lahore done over asphyxiated newborns showed Grade 1 HIE in 36.8%, stage 2 in 32.0% and stage 3 in 20.8%. 59.7% of the babies were discharged successfully and 40.3% died. 64.6% weighed >2.5 kg and 35.4% 1.5-2.5 kg ¹⁰.

In an different international study done on birth asphyxia neonates showed overall survival rate 86.7%. Mean gestational age was 39 weeks¹¹. The good survival rate in this study may be due more mature neonates, while in our 52% neonates were premature.

A study done in India on Birth Asphyxia newborns having 52.6% stage I HIE, 31.5% had stage 2 HIE and 15.7% developed stage III HIE. All HIE stage III babies expired. The mortality was 8%. Of these, 60% were those diagnosed with HIE stage III and the remaining 40% died of MAS with early onset sepsis ¹². Although mortality rate was lower in this study but there was no survival in Grade 3 HIE and this may be due to associated Sepsis and Meconium aspiration. While in our study death was in 24.9% neonates having grade 3 HIE and this difference may be due to no associated sepsis or meconium aspiration in these neonates.

CONCLUSION

In this study most common type was grade 1 Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy 37.1%. Grade 3 HIE was least common but death rate was more in it 21.9%. Death was least common in grade 1 HIE 4.26%.

Author's Contribution:

Concept & Design of Study: Deve Dass

Drafting: Muhammad Nadeem Chohan
Data Analysis: Muhammad Nadeem Chohan
Revisiting Critically: Jai Parkas, Deve Dass
Final Approval of version: Deve Dass

Conflict of Interest: The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

1. Lawn JE, Cousens S, Zupan J, Lancet Neonatal Survival Steering Team. 4 million neonatal deaths:

- When? Where? Why? Lancet 2005;365(9462): 891–900.
- Norren Samad, Samia Farook, Kinza Hafeez, et. Analysis of Consequences of Birth Asphyxia in Infants: A Regional Study in Southern Punjab , Pakistan. JCPSP 2016;26(12):950–3.
- 3. The World Health Report 2005 make every mother and child count. Geneva: WHO; 2005.
- 4. Jalil F. Perinatal health in Pakistan: a review of the current situation. Acta Paediatr 2004;93(10): 1273–9.
- 5. O Lawn JE, Cousens SN, Wilczynska K.rganisation WH. Estimating the causes of 4 million neonatal deaths in a year 2000. Child Heal Epidemiol Ref Gr 2008;1–6.
- 6. Azra Haider B, Bhutta ZA. Birth Asphyxia in Developing Countries: Current Status and Public Health Implications. Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care 2006;36(5):178–88.
- 7. Kelley L. Reducing Perinatal and Neonatal

- Mortality. Child Heal Res Proj Spec Rep 1999;3(1):1–48.
- Saeed T, Zulfiqar R, Afzal MA, Raja TM, Ul Haq MZ. Outcome of asphyxiated term newborns in relation to the time of referral to a tertiary care hospital. J Rawalpindi Med Coll 2012;16(1):34–6.
- Rafique A, Akram M, Khan RA, Fakhar-Ul-Zaman M. Birth Asphyxia -Clinical Experience and Immediate Outcomes. J Rawalpindi Med Coll 2017;21(1):20–2.
- 10. Seyal T, Seyal T, Hanif A. Factors Related to Adverse Outcome in Asphyxiated Babies: Ann King Edward Med Univ 2010;15(4):180.
- 11. Padayachee N, Ballot DE. Outcomes of neonates with perinatal asphyxia at a tertiary academic hospital in Johannesburg, South Africa. South Afri J Child Heal 2013;7(3):89.
- 12. B SSS, Nair CC, Madhu GN, Srinivasa S, Mn M. Clinical profile and outcome of perinatal asphyxia in a tertiary care centre 2015;19:9–12.