Original Article

# The Impact of Obstructive Jaundice on Quality of Life

Obstructive Jaundice on Quality of Life

Farhana Memon<sup>1</sup>, Ashfaque Ahmed<sup>2</sup>, Fariya Usmani<sup>3</sup>, Saima Sagheer<sup>4</sup>, Rabiyya Ali<sup>5</sup> and Sadaf Iqbal<sup>6</sup>

# **ABSTRACT**

**Objective:** The purpose of the study is to assess the effects of gall bladder disease patients to maintain their quality of life of having obstructive jaundice.

Study Design: Case control study.

**Place and Duration of Study:** This study was conducted at the Civil Hospital's Outpatient Department of General Surgery, Unit-1, and Karachi from 18th December 2018 to June 2019.

**Materials and Methods:** It was conducted on 195 patients of both genders age 20yrs – 80yrs diagnosed with obstructive jaundice and underwent for biliary patenting. In those patients we assessed quality of life with the short form-36 (SF-36) which includes 8 domains. SPSS version-21 was used to analyze the data.

**Results:** The results of our study revealed statistically highly significant effect of cholelithiasis, chronic cholecystitis and gall bladder polyp on quality of life of obstructive jaundice patients (p<0.001\*). Also patients with such diseases also had a significantly lower SF-36quality of life scores for both overall and in all eight individual domains than those patients who did not have those diseases, whereas it also indicated that the existence of gall bladder carcinoma in patients with obstructive jaundice didn't have a statistically significant effect on their quality of life (p>0.05).

**Conclusion:** Our study concludes that quality of life of those patients who were suffering from the benign causes of obstructive jaundice; such as cholelithiasis, chronic cholecystitis and gall bladder polyp were more significantly improved after therapy, whereas patients with gall bladder carcinoma had a worst effect on quality of life for both the genders.

Key Words: Cholelithiasis, Gallbladder polyp, Cholecystitis, Gall bladder carcinoma

Citation of article: Memon F, Ahmed A, Usmani F, Sagheer S, Ali R, Iqbal S. The Impact of Obstructive Jaundice on Quality of Life. Med Forum 2022;33(1):61-65.

# INTRODUCTION

Gallstone is a prolonged recurring hepato-biliary illness. It happens due to the spoiled cholesterol metabolic system, bile acids, and bilirubin.

- <sup>1.</sup> Department of General Surgery, Al-Tibri Medical College and Hospital, Isra University Campus, Karachi.
- <sup>2</sup> Department of General Surgeon, Sindh Government Hospital, Saudabad Malir, Karachi.
- 3. Department of Surgery, Sir Syed College of Medical Sciences, Karachi.
- <sup>4.</sup> Department of Unit III, Civil Hospital, Karachi.
- 5. Department of Surgical Unit II, DUHS, Civil Hospital, Karachi.
- 6. Department of Baqai Medical University, Emergency Department Fatima Hospital, Baqai Medical University, Karachi.

Correspondence: Dr. Farhana Memon, Assistant Professor of General Surgery, Al-Tibri Medical College and Hospital, Isra University Campus, Karachi.

Contact No: 03322204834

Email: farhanamemon83@yahoo.com

Received: September, 2021 Accepted: November, 2021 Printed: January, 2022 The prevalence of gallstone disease has stretched from 5.2% to 10% in Africa and 6.3% in Iran. The gold standard for diagnosing obstructive jaundice is Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangio Pancreatography (ERCP). ERCP can identify choledo-cholithiasis, common bile duct strictures and any other obstruction. Impairment of quality of life may be due to the biliary obstruction that results in cholangitis. [1-5].

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study used non-probability, consecutive sampling to choose cases from the Civil Hospital Outpatient Department of General Surgery, Unit-1, Karachi. The study period was from 18-dec-2018 to june-2019. The study began when CPSP approved it. The Dow University Health Science, Civil Hospital ethical review committee approved the data gathering. The study included 195 patients aged 20 to 80 years of both sexes. Patients with obstructive jaundice and biliary patenting for more than 2 years were excluded from the research. The patients' age, duration since biliary patenting, and gender were collected. The SF-36 measures QoL in 8 domains: physical function, role-physical, bodily pain, general health, vitality, social function, role-emotional, and mental health (MH).

This was done using SPSS version 21. Age, time since biliary patenting, serum bilirubin, and QoL evaluation score were expressed as Mean SD. The frequency and percentages of cholelithiasis, incidental gallbladder cancer, gallbladder polyp, and chronic cholecystitis were calculated.

Stratification controlled gender, age, cholelithiasis, incidental gallbladder cancer, gallbladder polyp, and chronic cholecystitis. Post stratification, a Mann-Whitney U-test was used. Statistical significance was defined as p 0.05 or less.

#### RESULTS

Data of total 195 patients were analyzed for the study. The mean age of patients was  $55.85 \pm 15.40$  years whereas 98 (50.3%) of them were male while 97 (49.7%) of them were females. Furthermore, 36 (18.5%) of them had cholelithiasis, 24 (12.3%) of them had chronic cholecystitis, 16 (8.2%) of them had gall bladder polyp while 3 (1.5%) of them had incidental gall bladder carcinoma. Moreover, their mean total SF-36 quality of life score was  $55.20 \pm 12.50$ . The study results showed that there was a statistically significant effect of cholelithiasis on quality of life of obstructive jaundice patients (p<0.001) where patients who had cholelithiasis had significantly lower SF-36 quality of life scores, both overall and in all eight individual domains, than patients who did not have cholelithiasis (table-1).

The study results further showed that there was a statistically significant effect of chronic cholecystitis on quality of life of obstructive jaundice patients (p<0.001) where patients who had chronic cholecystitis had significantly lower SF-36 quality of life scores, both overall and in all eight individual domains, than patients who did not have chronic cholecystitis (table 2). The study results also showed that there was a statistically significant effect of gall bladder polyp on quality of life of obstructive jaundice patients (p<0.001) where patients who had gall bladder polyp had significantly lower SF-36 quality of life scores, both overall and in all eight individual domains, than patients who did not have gall bladder polyp (table 3). The study results further revealed that the presence of gall bladder carcinoma in obstructive jaundice patients did not have a statistically significant effect on their quality of life (p>0.05), though the patients with gall bladder carcinoma had lower SF-36 quality of life scores, both overall and in all eight individual domains (table 4).

Table No.1: Cholelithiasis and Quality of Life

Quality of Life (QoL) Domain	Cholelithiasis		Mann- Whitney U test
Domain	No	Yes	p-value
N	159	36	-
Physical function (PF)			

Mean± SD	55.98±16.	44.12±12.62		
Median	56.59[69.	41.35[49.66-	<0.001*	
[IQR]	06-41.49]	32.31]	<0.001	
Max – Min	94.27- 25.3	71.83-27.52		
	Role-ph	nysical (RP)		
Mean± SD	57.28±17. 41	43.14±11.53		
Median	57.99[71.	42.78[48.02-	<0.001*	
[IQR]	34-40.98] 89.18-	34.76]		
Max – Min	25.29	76.42-25.42		
	Body 62.36±17.	pain (BP)		
Mean± SD	62.36±17. 41	51.11±14.66		
Median	62.57[76.	49.24[60.43-	<0.001*	
[IQR]	89-48.13] 93.39-	41]		
Max – Min	25.24	91.17-25.18		
		health (GH)		
Mean± SD	50.07±13. 46	41.12±10.38		
Median	50.23[59.	40.41[45.91-	<0.001*	
[IQR]	36-39.25] 87.01-	32.01]		
Max – Min	25.88	69.4-25.59		
	Vital	lity (VT)		
Mean± SD	55.32±16. 41	44.87±14.85		
Median	54.76[69.	43.26[50.61-	<0.001*	
[IQR]	05-42.83] 94.44-	34.94]		
Max – Min	25.26	88.41-25.15		
		unction (SF)		
Mean± SD	63.15±16. 02	51.23±13.74		
Median	63.45[74.	51.32[63.37-	<0.001*	
[IQR]	85-51.47] 93.41-	39.68]		
Max – Min	27.87	74.86-27.27		
		otional (RE)		
Mean± SD	61.32±17. 89	43.33±12.97		
Median	62.34[76.	41.3[[53.79-	<0.001*	
[IQR]	48-46.67] 93.54-	35.7]		
Max – Min	25.31	78.31-26.92		
Mental health (MH)				
Mean± SD	53.81±15. 65	42.75±12		
Median [IQR]	53.35[62. 99-39.62]	40.66[51.81- 33.3]	<0.001*	
Max – Min	94.66-	72.61-25.08		
1714/3 171111	25.24 Total SE	36-Qol Score		
Maan CD				
Mean± SD	57.41±12	45.46±9.84		
Median [IQR]	56.88[63. 72-50.03]	47.2[50.88- 38.27]	<0.001*	
Max – Min	92.2- 26.99	64.15-26.32		
<u> </u>				

Table No.2: Chronic Cholecystitis and Quality of Life

Liie					
Quality of	Chronic cholecystitis		Mann-		
Life (QoL)			Whitney		
Domain			U test		
	No	Yes	p-value		
N	171	24	-		
	Physical fun		1		
Mean± SD	55.73±16.26	39.96±11.46			
Median	56.59[68.67-	35.52[50.47-	<0.001*		
[IQR]	41.15]	29.81]			
Max – Min	94.27-25.3	63.1-27.52			
	Role-physi		1		
Mean± SD	55.98±17.01	45.37±17.28			
Median	56.54[69.98-	40.63[54.04-	0.005*		
[IQR]	40.92]	31.56]	0.005		
Max – Min	89.18-25.29	84.31-25.42			
	Body pai				
Mean± SD	62.26±17.13	46.21±12.92			
Median	62.57[76.23-	44.37[55.86-	<0.001*		
[IQR]	48.32]	36.92]	<0.001		
Max – Min	93.39-25.24	72.29-25.18			
	General hea				
Mean± SD	49.54±13.17	40.41±12.34			
Median	50[58.21-	40.65[46.3-	0.002*		
[IQR]	38.76]	29.97]	0.002		
Max – Min	87.01-25.88	75.28-25.59			
	Vitality	(VT)			
Mean± SD	54.96±16.62	42.27±11.71			
Median	54.45[69.05-	43.8[50.89-	<0.001*		
[IQR]	42.07]	31.37]	<0.001		
Max – Min	94.44-25.88	63.09-25.15			
	Social function (SF)				
Mean± SD	62.25±15.96	51.66±15.71			
Median	63.08[73.84-	50.73[61.85-	0.003*		
[IQR]	51.15]	37.03]	0.003		
Max – Min	93.41-27.27	86.8-29.68			
	Role emotion	onal (RE)			
Mean± SD	60.12±17.7	45.93±16.77			
Median	60.16[75.11-	40.05[56.52-	<0.001*		
[IQR]	44.69]	32.12]	<0.001		
Max – Min	93.54-25.31	86.84-26.92			
Mental health (MH)					
Mean± SD	53.84±15.19	37±9.62			
Median	53.35[62.9-	36.12[41.61-	<0.001*		
[IQR]	40.45]	28.33]	<0.001		
Max – Min	94.66-25.95	58.87-25.08			
Total SF-36-Qol Score					
Mean± SD	56.83±11.7	43.6±12.08			
Median	56.17[62.52-	44.23[52.52-	<0.001*		
[IQR]	49.38]	33.02]	\0.001 ·		
Max – Min	92.2-29.31	64.99-26.32			

Table No.3: Gall bladder Polyp and Quality of Life

Quality of Life (QoL) Domain	Gall bladder Polyp		Mann- Whitney U test	
Domain	No	Yes	p-value	
N	179	16	-	
Physical function (PF)				
Mean± SD	54.51±16.46	45.76±16.07	0.043*	
Median [IQR]	54.06[68.32-	41.9[55.68-	0.045**	

	20.051	21.501		
Max – Min	39.95] 94.27-25.3	31.59] 86.11-28		
IVIAX — IVIIII	Role-physic			
Mean± SD	56.21±16.71	37.44±15.32		
	56.42[69.98-	31.81[40.82-		
Median [IQR]	41.46]	27.27]	<0.001*	
Max – Min	89.18-25.42	80.91-25.29		
IVIAX IVIIII	Body pair			
Mean± SD	61.4±17.12	47.76±16.7		
	61.55[75.68-	45.26[57.85-		
Median [IQR]	47.63]	34.51]	0.003*	
Max – Min	93.39-25.18	91.63-27.47		
IVIUX IVIIII	General heal			
Mean± SD	49.15±13.1	40.23±14.18		
	4869[57.95-	37.71[44.73-		
Median [IQR]	38.76]	28.49]	0.010*	
Max – Min	87.01-25.59	72.75-25.96		
	Vitality (			
Mean± SD	54.48±16.38	41.21±14.54		
	53.74[66.11-	39.89[47.42-	0.0001	
Median [IQR]	42.07]	28.88]	0.002*	
Max – Min	94.44-25.15	79.52-25.26		
Social function (SF)				
Mean± SD	61.85±16.09	50.84±15.17		
Madian HODI	62.1[73.55-	50.41[64.57-	0.000*	
Median [IQR]	50.67]	36.34]	0.009*	
Max – Min	93.41-27.27	73.11-30.25		
Role emotional (RE)				
Mean± SD	59.7±17.64	43.46±17.69		
Median [IQR]	59.3[74.95-	37.9[48.08-	0.001*	
Median [IQK]	44.38]	31.17]	0.001	
Max – Min	93.54-25.31	84.58-27.44		
Mental health (MH)				
Mean± SD	52.67±15.59	41.67±12.35		
Median [IQR]	52.57[62.48-	41.35[52.07-	0.007*	
	39.33]	30.28]	0.007	
Max – Min	94.66-25.08	62.34-25.24		
Total SF-36-Qol Score				
Mean± SD	56.25±12.1	43.55±11.25		
Median [IQR]	56.08[62.24-	44.92[51.41-	<0.001*	
	48.48]	32.61]	10.001	
Max – Min	92.2-26.32	65.96-26.99		

Table No.4: Incidental Gall bladder Carcinoma and Quality of Life

Quanty of Li	10			
Quality of Life (QoL)	Incidental gall bladder carcinoma		Mann- Whitney U test	
Domain	No	Yes	p-value	
N	192	3	-	
	Physical fun	ction (PF)		
Mean± SD	54±16.56	40.24±12.06	0.154	
Median	53.08[66.61-	34.76[54.06-		
[IQR]	39.89]	31.89]		
Max – Min	94.27-25.3	54.06-31.89		
Role-physical (RP)				
Mean± SD	54.8±17.41	46.53±12.9		
Median	54.26[69.3-	49.22[57.87-	0.414	
[IQR]	39.64	32.5]		
Max – Min	89.18-25.29	57.87-32.5		
Body pain (BP)				
Mean± SD	60.49±17.45	46.67±13.94	0.174	

•				
Median	60.25[74.87-	42.95[62.09-		
[IQR]	46.84]	34.97]		
Max – Min	93.39-25.18	62.09-34.97		
	General he	alth (GH)		
Mean± SD	48.52±13.42	42.1±10.75		
Median	47.99[57.85-	46.45[50-	0.412	
[IQR]	38.33]	29.86]	0.412	
Max – Min	87.01-25.59	50-29.86		
	Vitality	(VT)		
Mean± SD	53.56±16.64	42.46±11.15		
Median	52.47[65.73-	38.39[55.07-	0.251	
[IQR]	41.65]	33.92]	0.251	
Max – Min	94.44-25.15	55.07-33.92		
	Social func	ction (SF)		
Mean± SD	61.19±16.19	45.37±15.86		
Median	61.84[72.7-	38.83[63.45-	0.095	
[IQR]	50.06]	33.83]	0.093	
Max – Min	93.41-27.27	63.45-33.83		
	Role emoti	onal (RE)		
Mean± SD	58.63±18.1	41.53±16.22		
Median	58.73[74.79-	33.94[60.16-	0.106	
[IQR]	43.99]	30.5]	0.100	
Max – Min	93.54-25.31	60.16-30.5		
Mental health (MH)				
Mean± SD	51.97±15.6	38.65±12.08		
Median	51.87[61.62-	32.75[52.55-	0.143	
[IQR]	39.15]	30.65]	0.143	
Max – Min	94.66-25.08	52.55-30.65		
Total SF-36-Qol Score				
Mean± SD	55.4±12.44	42.95±12.38		
Median	54.93[61.6-	38.61[56.91-	0.087	
[IQR]	48.24]	33.32]	0.067	
Max – Min	92.2-26.32	56.91-33.32		

# **DISCUSSION**

Most patients with biliary abrasions are old and unable to afford surgery. This study looked at how benign and malignant gallbladder problems affect quality of life. Patients with benign or malignant obstructive jaundice ranged in age from 29 to 70 years. In contrast, malignant etiologies were more common in people aged 50+. [23] Many studies have indicated that malignant obstructive jaundice increases with age [24,25]. Our study found that older patients were more likely to develop malignant obstructive jaundice. Men are more likely than women to have benign or malignant obstructive jaundice. Bodily jaundice male-female ratio was 1.33, while malignant obstructive jaundice was 1.23. [23] Gall stones are the most common cause of obstructive jaundice in females.[26,8] More study backed up the results. [24,26] Our study indicated that males had slightly more gall bladder disease than females in obstructive jaundice.

Bekele et al. found that benign obstructive jaundice was the most common etiology in Ethiopia. The study also found that benign causes of choledo-cholithiasis were more common, with 11 patients (22%) having common bile duct stricture and 2 (4%) having post cholecystectomy common bile duct stone. The most

common cause of benign is choledocholithiasis. [24,27-29] This study found pancreatic head cancer (15%), gallbladder carcinoma (8%) and cholangio-carcinoma (5%). (8 percent). 4% The same was true with obstructive jaundice. [24,29] We found cholelithiasis to be the most common benign cause of obstructive jaundice, followed by chronic cholecystitis and gallbladder polyps, with 3 (1.5%) having incidental gallbladder malignancy. The most common benign cause of obstructive jaundice was cholelithiasis. Finan et al. studied gastrointestinal symptoms and QoL after cholecystectomy. In addition to the SF-36, the survey covered symptoms of biliary illness and other benign gastrointestinal problems. Patients with symptomatic gallstone disease benefitted from laparoscopic cholecystectomy. [30] Malignant incidental gallbladder carcinoma patients' quality of life increased while benign causes of obstructive jaundice including cholelithiasis and chronic cholecystitis worsened (p=0.087). Some studies combined the SF-36 and GIQLI, or a general health and postoperative QoL questionnaire. Quintana et al. (19) utilized the SF-36 to assess post-cholecystectomy patients. Their findings revealed that both the SF-36 and GIQLI were valid QoL measures. [31] With benign and malignant obstructive jaundice, the SF-36 Health Survey predicts

# **CONCLUSION**

Obstructive jaundice is a common and difficult to treat condition. On the other hand, gallbladder cancer patients had greater quality of life than those with benign causes of obstructive jaundice, such as cholelithiasis. This study demonstrates that early detection and treatment of obstructive jaundice is critical.

#### **Author's Contribution:**

Data Analysis:

Concept & Design of Study: Farhana Memon Drafting: Ashfaque Ahmed,

Fariya Usmani Saima Sagheer, Rabiyya Ali, Sadaf Iqbal

Revisiting Critically: Farhana Memon, Ashfaque Ahmed

Final Approval of version: Farhana Memon

**Conflict of Interest:** The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

# REFERENCES

- Schirmer BD, Winters KL, Edlich RF. Cholelithiasis and cholecystitis. J Long Term Eff Med Implants 2005;15:329-38.
- Tazuma S. Gallstone disease: epidemiology, pathogenesis, and classification of biliary stones

- (common bile duct and intrahepatic). Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol 2006;20(6):1075-83.
- Sachdeva S, Khan Z, Ansari MA, Khalique N, Anees A. Lifestyle and gallstone disease: Scope for primary prevention. Ind J Community Med 2011;36:263-7.
- Hou L, Shu XO, Gao YT, Ji BT, Weiss JM, Yang G, et al. Anthropometric measurements, physical activity, and the risk of symptomatic gallstone disease in Chinese women. Ann Epidemiol 2009;19(5):344-50.
- Silva MA, Wong T. Gallstones in chronic liver disease. J Gastrointest Surg 2005;9(5):739-46.
- Kratzer W, Mason RA, Kächele V. Prevalence of gallstones in sonographic surveys worldwide. J Clin Ultrasound 1999;27(1):1-7.
- Farzaneh E, Zavvareh HT, Gharadaghi J, Sheikhvatan M. Prevalence and characteristics of gallstone disease in an Iranian population: A study on cadavers. Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int 2007;6(5):509-12.
- Channa NA, Khand FD, Bhangwer MI, Leghari MH. Surgical incidence of cholelithiasis in hyderabad and adjoining areas (Pakistan). Pak J Med Sci 2004;20(1):13-17.
- 9. Panpimanmas S, Manmee C. Risk Factors for Gallstone Disease in a Thai Population. J Epidemiol 2009;19(3):116-121.
- Chandran AP, Sivarajan R, Srinivasan V, Srinivas M, Jayanthi V. Risk profile for gallstone disease in southern Indian population: Is there anything new? Ind J Gastroenterol 2014;33(3):254-257.
- 11. Jazrawi RP. Gallstones and their Complications. Medicine 2002;30(12):80-82.
- 12. Pacchioni M, Nicoletti C, Caminiti M, Calori G, Curci V, Camisasca R, et al. Association of Obesity and Type II Diabetes Mellitus as a Risk Factor for Gallstones. Digestive Diseasesand Sci 2000;45(10):2002-2006.
- 13. Roche SP, Kobos R. Jaundice in the adult patient. Am Fam Physician 2004;69(2):299-304.
- 14. Bekele Z, Yifru A. Obstructive jaundice in adult Ethiopians in a referral hospital. Ethiop Med J 2000;38(4):267-75.
- 15. Aziz M, Ahmad N. Incidence of malignant Obstructive Jaundice a study of hundred patients at Nishtar Hospital Multan. Ann KE Med Coll 2016;10(1):71–3.
- 16. Hina H, Khan SA, Muneer S, Adil SA. Diagnostic accuracy of ultrasound in evaluation of obstructive jaundice with MRCP as gold standard. Pak J Med Sci 2020;36(4): 652–656.
- 17. Khurram M, Durrani AA, Hasan Z, Butt AUA, Ashfaq S. Endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatographic evaluation of patients with obstructive jaundice. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2003;13(6):325–8.

- 18. Akhtar S, Mufti TS. Diagnostic accuracy of obstructive jaundice on ultrasonography at Ayub Hospital complex. J Ayub Med Coll Abottabad 1999;11(1):45–6.
- 19. Cheema KM, Ahmad F, Gondal SH. Evaluation of etiological incidence and diagnostic modalities in obstructive jaundice. Pak Postgrad Med J 2001;12(4):160–4.
- Chu D, Adler DG. Malignant biliary tract obstruction: evaluation and therapy. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2010;8(9):1033-44.
- 21. Sultana A, Smith CT, Cunningham D, Starling N, Neoptolemos JP, Ghaneh P. Meta-analyses of chemotherapy for locally advanced and metastatic pancreatic cancer. J Clin Oncol 2007;25(18): 2607-15.
- 22. Valle J, Wasan H, Palmer DH, Cunningham D, Anthoney A, Maraveyas A. Cisplatin plus gemcitabine versus gemcitabine for biliary tract cancer. N Engl J Med 2010;362(14):1273-81.
- 23. Roy BC, Hanifa MA, Alam MS, Naher S, Sarkar P. Etiological Spectrum of Obstructive Jaundice in a Tertiary Care Hospital. Global Journal of Medical Research: I Surgeries and Cardiovascular System 2015;15(4):1-5.
- 24. Chalya PL, Kanumba ES, McHembe M. Etiological spectrum and treatment outcome of Obstructive jaundice at a University teaching Hospital in northwestern Tanzania: A diagnostic and therapeutic challenges. BMC Res Notes 2011;4:147.
- 25. Witwit RJ. Relation between the clinical presentation and etiology of obstructive jaundice. Kufa Med J 2011;14(1):209-213.
- 26. Siddique K, Ali Q, Mirza S, Jamil A, Ehsan A, Latif S, Malik AZ. Evaluation of the aetiological spectrum of obstructive jaundice. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2008;20(4):62-6.
- 27. Verma SR, Sahai SB, Gupta PK, Munshi A, Verma SC, et al. Obstructive Jaundice- Etiological Spectrum, Clinical, Biochemical and Radiological Evaluation: at a Tertiary Care Teaching Hospital. Int J Tropical Med 2010;7(2).
- 28. Saddique M, Iqbal SA. Management of Obstructive Jaundice: Experience in a Tertiary Care Surgical Unit. PJS 2007;23(1):23-25.
- 29. Khanzada TW, Samad A, Memon W, Kumar B. Etiological Spectrum of Obstructive Jaundice. JPMI 2008; 22(2):157-160.
- 30. Finan KR, Leeth RR, Whitley BM, Klapow JC, Hawn MT. Improvement in gastrointestinal symptoms and quality of life after cholecystectomy. Am J Surg 2006;192:196-202
- 31. Quintana JM, Cabriada J, Aróstegui I, Oribe V, Perdigo L, Varona M, et al. Health-related quality of life and appropriateness of cholecystectomy. Ann Surg 2005;241:110-118.