**Original Article** 

# **Prevalence of Wound Complications Due to Diabetes in Patients Undergoing Abdominal Surgery**

Wound **Complications Due to Diabetes** 

Liagat Ali and Adnan Badar

# **ABSTRACT**

Objective: The main objective of the study is to analyze the wound complications due to diabetes in patients undergoing abdominal surgery.

Study Design: Cross sectional study

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at the Anatomy Department, Swat Medical College, Swat during March 2020 to August 2020.

Materials and Methods: A wide range of routine stomach medical procedures aside from those done in crisis were remembered for the investigation.

**Results:** The data was collected from 120 patients. The mean age of the complete example was 55.5 years. Most of the example was male (51.1%), non-smokers (95.6%) and didn't have hypertension (67.8%). The normal span after conclusion of diabetes mellitus was 6.1 (SD 6.3) a long time.

Conclusion: It is concluded that it is difficult to treat the wound complication in diabetic patients. It can be difficult to differentiate local soft tissue infection and inflammation from osteomyelitis.

Key Words: Wound, Complications, Diabetes, Foot ulcer, Abdominal

Citation of article: Ali L, Badar A. Prevalence of Wound Complications Due to Diabetes in Patients Undergoing Abdominal Surgery. Med Forum 2021;32(3):112-114.

# INTRODUCTION

Diabetic wound complications are the most common cause of non-traumatic lower extremity amputations in the industrialized world. The danger of lower furthest point removal is 15 to multiple times higher in diabetics than in people who don't have diabetes mellitus. Moreover, twisted confusions are the most successive purpose behind hospitalization in patients with diabetes, representing up to 25 percent of all diabetic confirmations in the United States and Great Britain<sup>1</sup>. By far most of diabetic injury intricacies bringing about removal start with the development of skin ulcers. Early recognition and suitable treatment of these ulcers may forestall up to 85 percent of removals. For sure, one of the illness counteraction goals sketched out in the "Healthy People 2000" task of the U.S<sup>2</sup>. Division of Health and Human Services is a 40 percent decrease in the removal rate for diabetic patients. Family doctors have an indispensable part in guaranteeing that patients with diabetes get early and ideal care for skin ulcers<sup>3</sup>.

Department of Anatomy, Swat Medical College, Swat.

Correspondence: Dr. Liaqat Ali, Associate Professor of Anatomy, Swat Medical College, Swat.

Contact No: 0340-9073778 Email: liaqatnsurgeon@yahoo.com

Received: September, 2020 Accepted: November, 2020 Printed: March, 2021

Patients with diabetes may likewise be at higher danger of antagonistic occasions while going through a medical procedure. This is a significant concern since it is assessed that the greater part of the diabetic population will need at any rate 1 surgery during their lifetime<sup>4</sup>. Postoperative complexities stretch emergency clinic stay, increment the financial weight, and increment mortality. Hyperglycemia, hypoglycemia, and huge glycemic changeability all disturb the infection conditions of patients and increment the occurrence of carefully related unfriendly events<sup>5</sup>.

Stomach a medical procedure is one of the commonest Surgery acted in optional care just as tertiary care showing clinics everywhere on the world. Normally the difficulty rate in controlled settings isn't extremely high and wound recuperating happen in up to 14 days with practical recuperation of practically all the patients with no premorbid factors<sup>6</sup>. Normal injury inconveniences of different sorts of stomach medical procedures incorporate dying, injury herniation, wound disease and so forth Numerous variables incline the people towards the injury inconveniences and postponement in the sicknesses including recuperation. Foundational diabetes incline the person towards the injury inconveniences going through the stomach surgeries<sup>7</sup>.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross sectional study was conducted in Swat Medical College, Swat during March 2020 to August 2020. The data was collected with the permission of ethical committee of hospital.

Exclusion criteria: Hypothyroidism, pernicious anemia, discopathy, harm since they can likewise prompt neuropathy, and lower appendage edema and congestive cardiovascular breakdown, since they can meddle with the appraisal of neuropathy in assessment and length of diabetes under 5 years in patients with type I on the grounds that in this period neuropathy has still not created were avoided from this examination. Patients who were going through second a medical procedure in under one month time were additionally avoided.

**Inclusion criteria:** All the patients age range 20 to 60 years and suffering from type II DM were included in this study.

#### Collection of data:

The data was gathered through a questionnare. A wide range of routine stomach medical procedures aside from those done in crisis were remembered for the investigation. A survey including age, sex, BMI, diabetes term, sort of treatment, HbA1C, distortion, neuropathy indications, vascular manifestations, history of twisted, reason of stomach a medical procedure, past preparing with respect to wound care, smoking, history of retinopathy and nephropathy was finished for all patients. Post-usable agony was additionally estimated through visual simple score. The patients were assessed for deformation: contractured toe, unmistakable metatarsal heads and Halux valgus. Questions with

respect to indications of neuropathy and vascular issue including deadness and shivering of toes and legs, torment and feeling hot or cold sensation in the legs, discontinuous claudication, rest torment, dainty skin, shiny and somewhat blue skin staining and wound ulcer or removal were asked from the patients.

#### **Statistical analysis:**

The data was collected and analysed using SPSS version 19.

# **RESULTS**

The data was collected from 120 patients. The mean age of the complete example was 55.5 years. Most of the example was male (51.1%), non-smokers (95.6%) and didn't have hypertension (67.8%). The normal span after conclusion of diabetes mellitus was 6.1 (SD 6.3) a long time. The lion's share didn't have fringe neuropathy (81.1%), missing fringe beats (90.0%), preulcerous states (90.0%), insensitive (89.9%), crevices on feet (64.4%), nail pathology (97.1%), injury disfigurement (93.3%) or incapacity (94.4%). The larger part were on treatment with diet and oral enemy of diabetic prescription (90.0%).

Longer duration of illness and high BMI also had significant association with the presence of wound complication among the patients undergoing abdominal surgery as summarized in table 02.

Table No.1: Risk factors for developing wound in patients with diabetes mellitus

Characteristic	Cases $n(\%)$ Univariate statistics		Multivariate statistics		
		Odds ratio (95%	P-value	Adjusted odds	<i>P</i> -value
		CI)		ratios (95% CI) <sup>1</sup>	
Gender- Male	22 (48.9)	0.84 (0.37-1.91)	0.673	0.83 (0.36-1.90)	0.652
Age- Over 55 years	25 (55.6)	1.20 (0.52-2.74)	0.673	1.21 (0.53-2.78)	0.652
Body mass index >25	24 (54.5)	0.88 (0.38-2.03)	0.759	1.27 (0.55-2.95)	0.578
Hypertension on treatment with	10 (22.2)	0.39 (0.16-0.98)	0.042	0.29 (0.10-0.80)	0.018
ACEI					
Smoker	2 (4.4)	2.00 (0.18-22.89)	0.570	2.47 (0.21-29.76)	0.477
Duration of diabetes in years >3	28 (62.2)	1.72 (0.74-3.99)	0.203	1.20 (0.52-2.78)	0.669
Treated with anti-hyper-	33 (82.5)	2.36 (0.82-6.76)	0.106	2.39 (0.82-6.92)	0.11
glycemic medication or insulin					
Treated with insulin	8 (17.8)	9.51 (1.14-79.60)	0.014	11.05 (1.29-94.54)	0.028
Undergoing abdominal surgery	34 (18.9)	2.39 (0.92-5.76)	0.01	2.39 (0.92-5.76)	0.06

Table No.2: The correlated factors relating to presence of wound complications among the Patients with

type II diabetes undergoing abdominal surgery

Parameters	p-value	Odds Ratio	Confidence Interv	Confidence Interval	
			Lower	Upper	
Age (ref. is >30 years)	0.552	0.883	0.373	2.095	
Duration of illness (ref. is <5 years)	< 0.001	13.088	3.978	43.066	
Gender (ref. is male)	0.540	1.239	0.612	2.511	
Education (ref. is ≥ matriculate)	0.340	0.684	0.313	1.493	
BMI (ref. is BMI <24)	< 0.001	4.228	1.998	8.947	

# DISCUSSION

Wound ulcers is a disabling complication and not uncommon among people with diabetes mellitus. The incapacity and conceivable movement to the misfortune (removal) of digits and appendages make it a difficult issue<sup>8</sup>. This investigation endeavored to analyze the danger factors for twisted ulceration because of stomach a medical procedure in sort II DM patients. Wound heartbeats were utilized in the clinical appraisal, and their nonattendance is normally connected with an ABI of <0.769. Past examinations indicated that a higher bit of patients with tumors, cracks, and cardiovascular and cerebrovascular infections was found in the diabetic population than in the ordinary population and along these lines a higher part of patients with diabetes mellitus was seen in those requiring careful treatments<sup>10</sup>.

General a medical procedure was autonomously connected with postoperative antagonistic occasions in patients with diabetes, contrasted and elective muscular medical procedure. General a medical procedure covers a wide assortment of medical procedure types to a wide assortment of organs, a significant number of them being fundamental organs (e.g., throat, stomach, little inside, colon, liver, pancreas, gallbladder, and bile ducts)<sup>11</sup>.

# **CONCLUSION**

It is concluded that it is difficult to treat the wound complication in diabetic patients. It can be difficult to differentiate local soft tissue infection and inflammation from osteomyelitis. Special attention should be paid to the individuals with longer duration of illness.

#### **Author's Contribution:**

Concept & Design of Study: Liaqat Ali Drafting: Adnan Badar

Data Analysis: Adnan Badar, Liaqat Ali Revisiting Critically: Liaqat Ali, Adnan Badar

Final Approval of version: Liaqat Ali

**Conflict of Interest:** The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

## REFERENCES

1. Frisch A, Chandra P, Smiley D, et al. Prevalence and clinical outcome of hyperglycemia in the

- perioperative period in noncardiac surgery. Diabetes Care 2010;33:1783–8.
- Zhang X, Yan X, Gorman J, et al. Perioperative hyperglycemia is associated with postoperative neurocognitive disorders after cardiac surgery. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat 2014;10:361– 70
- 3. Clarke RS. The hyperglycaemic response to different types of surgery and anaesthesia. Br J Anaesth 1970;42:45–53.
- 4. Maruyama K, Sato S. Effect of high-glucose conditions on human periodontal ligament endothelial cells: in vitro analysis. Odontol 2017;105:76–83.
- 5. Donatelli F, Vavassori A, Bonfanti S, et al. Epidural anesthesia and analgesia decrease the postoperative incidence of insulin resistance in preoperative insulin-resistant subjects only. Anesth Analg 2007;104:1587–93.
- Ndip EA, Tchakonte B, Mbanya JC. A study of the prevalence and risk factors of wound problems in a population of diabetic patients in Cameroon. Int J Low Extreme Wounds 2006;5(2):83–88.
- Pscherer S, Dippel FW, Lauterbach S, Kostev K. Amputation rate and risk factors in type 2 patients with diabetic wound syndrome under real-life conditions in Germany. Prim Care Diabetes 2012;6(3):241–246.
- 8. Severgnini P, Slmo G, Lanza C, Chiesa A, Frigerio A, Bacuzzi A, et al. Protective mechanical ventilation during general anesthesia for open abdominal surgery improve postoperative pulmonary function. Anesthesiol 2013;118 (6):1307–21.
- Knaus WA, Zimmerman JE, Wagner DP, Draper EA, Lawrence DE. APACHE-acute physiology and chronic health evaluation: A physiologically based classification system. Crit Care Med 1981;9(8):591–97.
- 10. Copeland GP, Jones D, Walters M. POSSUM: A scoring system for surgical audit. Br J Surg 1991;78(3):355–60.
- 11. Tekkis PP, Prytherch DR, Kocher HM, Senapati A, Poloniecki JD, Stamatakis JD, et al. Development of a dedicated risk-adjustment scoring system for colorectal surgery (Colorectal POSSUM) Br J Surg 2004;91(9):1174–82.