**Original Article** 

# Groin Flap; A Simple and **Versatile Option for Coverage of Hand Defects**

Groin Flap for **Soft Tissue of** Hand Injuries

Imran Adeel<sup>1</sup>, Ghazanfar Ali<sup>2</sup>, Tahir Iqbal Mirza<sup>3</sup> and Muhammad Jalil Malik<sup>3</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

**Objective:** This study was conducted to share our experience of using groin flap for provision of soft tissue coverage to hand injuries.

Study Design: Descriptive Case Series study.

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at the BVH Bahawalpur and CMH Bahawalpur from January 2014 to January 2020.

Materials and Methods: There are total 69 patients. Informed consent had been taken from all participants

Inclusion Criteria: Post-traumatic Upper limb defects of hand and forearm. Age 20 to 45 years. Patients who were vitally stable on admission and were presented after emergency optimization. Those patients who did not had any associated co-morbidity like diabetes mellitus, uncontrolled hypertension and smoking. Patients who gave consent for this operation and follow-ups

Exclusion Criteria: Upper limb defects of arm and proximal forearm; those cannot reach to groin comfortably. Age of more than 45 years. Unstable patients that require life/limb saving surgery first. Patients with co-morbidities like diabetes mellitus, uncontrolled hypertension and smoking. Patients not willing to participate.

**Results:** Out of total 69 patients 64(92.75%) were male and 5(7.25%) were female. The mean age was 21.34 years. The most common etiology was road traffic accidents, n=34(49.28%) followed by Household injuries, n=17(24.64%) Table I. The most common complication seen in our study was infection, 4(5.80%) Table II.

**Conclusion:** Groin Flap is a very versatile flap for coverage because of its supple skin and robust blood supply. However, the cost of donor site morbidity should be minimized for through proper planning and meticulous surgical technique.

**Key Words:** Groin Flap, Price to Pay, versatile.

Citation of article: Adeel I, Ali G, Mirza TI, Malik MJ. Groin Flap; A Simple and Versatile Option for Coverage of Hand Defects. Med Forum 2021;32(4):95-99.

### INTRODUCTION

The injuries of the upper limb are not uncommon in this industrial era. The anatomy of the hand is very complex and highly skilled functions are performed with hands and they pose unique challenges in reconstruction of the hand and upper limb injuries<sup>1-3</sup>.

Like other wounds the main aim of the treatment is to achieve primary wound healing. the very basic requirements of the hand and upper limb injuries are; fixation of underlying fracture if any, repair of underlying structures, early supple soft tissue coverage, elevation and early exercises to avoid hand contractures<sup>4-</sup>

Correspondence: Dr. Imran Adeel, Assistant Professor of Plastic Surgery, Bahawal Victoria Hospital, Bahawalpur. Contact No: 0333-6366429

Email: Imran\_adeel17@yahoo.com

Received: October, 2020 December, 2020 Accepted:

Printed: April, 2021

Keeping in view the components involved and size of the wound, occupation of the patient, hand dominance and resources available the most feasible option is chosen from the reconstructive ladder; Primary closure, skin grafting, local flap, regional flap or free tissue transfer. In developing country like ours, the facility of free tissue transfer is available in limited areas. For provision of supple soft tissue coverage, the pedicled flaps like reverse radial forearm flap Posterior Inter-osseous Artery (PIA) flap and Groin flap are the preferred choices<sup>6-7-8-9</sup>.

The Groin flap is type Fascio-cutaneous flap based on superficial circumflex iliac artery<sup>10</sup>. Robust blood supply, supple skin, easy dissection and camouflage of the scar make this flap a favorable choice even in era of microsurgery and not letting it become out of fashion<sup>11</sup>. This study was conducted to share our experience of using groin flap for provision of soft tissue coverage for hand injuries.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1.</sup> Department of Plastic Surgery, Bahawal Victoria Hospital, Bahawalpur.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2.</sup> Department of Plastic Surgery / General Surgery<sup>3</sup>, CMH Multan.

This study was carried out in BVH Bahawalpur and Combined Military hospital Bahawalpur from January 2014 to January 2020. In total 69 patients were enrolled for the study through sequential purposive sampling. All patients of hand or forearm treated with Groin Flap for soft tissue coverage were included in the study. However, patients having Diabetes Mellitus, smoking and metastatic cancer were excluded from the study as these maladies are associated with high risk of complications.

The enrolled patients were counseled in detail about the nature of injury, procedure to be performed, hospital stay and follow up visits. They were also informed about the risk of anesthesia and possible adverse complications of the surgery and revision procedures required. The effect is analyzed and flap is marked after reverse planning. The description of the flap, surgical technique is well described in the literature. The flap is raised in subcutaneous plane and inset according to requirement and hand is fixed for three weeks. The patients were discharged on 2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> day and the pedicle was divided after three weeks and flap was inset. The further modifications like flap thinning were carried out after 2weeks of the second surgery. The patients were finally assessed at three months and all data was recorded on a specially designed Performa. Age, gender, etiology of injury, components involved and size of the defect, complications and number of revision procedures were the variables of the study. SPSS Ver24 was used to analyze and interpret the data.

#### **RESULTS**



Figure No.1:

Figure No.2:

Out of total 69 patients 64(92.75%) were male and 5(7.25%) were female. The mean age was 21.34 years. The most common etiology was road traffic accidents, n=34(49.28%) followed by Household injuries, n=17(24.64%) Table I. The most common complication seen in our study was infection, 4(5.80%) Table 2.

Table No.1: Etiology

able 110.1. Edology			
Sr	Etiology	n	
no			
1	Road Traffic Accident	34(49.28%)	
2	Household Injuries	17(24.64%)	
3	Industrial Accidents	9(13.04%)	
4	Electric Current injuries	5(7.25%)	
5	Hand Infections	2(2.90%)	
6	Tumors of Hand	1(1.45%)	
7	Human Bite	1(1.45%)	

**Table No.2: Complications** 

table 1 (otal Complications		
Complications	n	
Partial Flap Loss	1(1.45%)	
Complete Flap Loss	nil	
Infection	4(5.80%)	
Hypertrophic scarring at	1(1.45%)	
Groin		
Shoulder Stiffness	3(4.35%)	
Hand Stiffness	2(2.90%)	
	Partial Flap Loss Complete Flap Loss Infection Hypertrophic scarring at Groin Shoulder Stiffness	



Figure No.3:

## **DISCUSSION**

The groin flap was first described by McGregor and Jackson<sup>12</sup>in 1972 and it is has become a milestone in history of plastic and reconstructive surgery for provision of supple soft tissue coverage for a range of defects in upper limb injuries. It became workhorse flap after that. It can be modified to provide tailor made solutions for complex wounds.



Figure No.4:



Figure No.5:



Figure No.6:



Figure No.7:



Figure No.8:



Figure No.9:

The use Free tissue transfer in 1970<sup>13-14</sup>has revolutionized the field of reconstruction. It offers single stage solution for reconstruction of complex defects anywhere in the body. However, it is technically demanding, time consuming and require specialized training and equipment. Furthermore, it may be contraindicated in patients with history of smoking, diabetes Mellitus or vascular disease. All these constraints do not let pedicled flap become obsolete and out of fashion. The pedicled flap like Groin flap can be used in these circumstances.

The groin flap<sup>10</sup>has supple skin and robust blood supply. The scar can be camouflaged with under garments. The main disadvantages of the groin flap are; multistage procedure, hand in dependent position can worsen the edema and stiffness. However, excellent outcome results of this workhorse flap overshadow these disadvantages<sup>15</sup>.

In our study the majority of the patients were male 64(92.75%). The same pattern is seen in other studies. Jabaiti S, Ahmad M, AlRyalat SA<sup>9</sup>conducted a study for

reconstruction of upper limb defects using pedicled abdominal flaps (Groin Flap) and reported that there were 91.2% male patients and 8.8% female patients. In their study the mean age was 22.2years while in our study it was 21.34 years.

The main etiology in our study was road traffic accidents followed by industrial accidents (Table I). Choudry UH, Moran SL and Li S et al <sup>16</sup>conducted a study on soft tissue coverage of elbow and reported 47% patients were secondary to trauma. Other main causes in their study were tumors (16%), infection (13%) and burn (6%).

The groin Flap is bulky in obese patients and some reconstructive surgeons have reported the use of thin flap for soft tissue coverage of injured tissues. Yamada N, Ui K, Uchinuma E<sup>17</sup>reported a case series using thin groin flap with very satisfactory results. These modifications are helpful for the patients having excessive subcutaneous fat but can jeopardize the flap survival. The risk of compromising the vascularity of the flap should be kept in mind while de-fating it.

Keeping hand in dependent and fixed position can be inconvenient for the patient and it can lead to stiffness of the hand and other joints of the upper limb specialty shoulder<sup>18</sup>. Moreover, it can worsen the edema of the injured hand. However, it is well tolerated by most of the patients if they are properly counseled about the benefits of this robust flap and its usefulness in provision of supple skin coverage<sup>19</sup>. Stiffness of the shoulder and hand can be problematic in elder people or patients having comorbid like diabetes mellitus etc. conducted by Graf and Beemer<sup>20</sup>on this topic reported stiffness of the shoulder joint in 17% patients above 50 years of age bracket while Jabaiti S, Ahmad M, AlRyalat SA<sup>9</sup> reported shoulder stiffness only in 2.94% patients of same age group. This diversity reflects wide variation among the patients and study centers.

Flap necrosis is a nightmare for every reconstructive surgeon. It can increase the financial cost, delayed return to work and additional procedures. Every effort is made for flap survival and better outcome. Fortunately there was no complete flap necrosis in any patient in our study. Partial flap was noted in 1(1.45%) patients. Wang et al<sup>21</sup>reported partial flap loss in one patient (11.11%) while Urushidate et al<sup>22</sup> reported zero flap loss in their study. These variations can be due different sample size and different level of surgical expertise and overall health care facility. This flap remains an important tool in armamentarium of plastic and reconstructive surgeon even in era of microsurgery. Although a lot of studies have been carried out on this topic but there is always a room for improvement.

#### CONCLUSION

Groin Flap is a very versatile flap for coverage because of its supple skin and robust blood supply. However, the cost of donor site morbidity should be minimized for through proper planning and meticulous surgical technique.

**Acknowledgement:** The valuable support provided by Abdul Rehman Ibrahim Bajwa is highly appreciated and acknowledged.

#### **Author's Contribution:**

Concept & Design of Imran Adeel

Study:

Drafting: Ghazanfar Ali, Tahir

Iqbal Mirza

Data Analysis: Muhammad Jalil Malik Revisiting Critically: Imran Adeel, Ghazanfar

Ali

Final Approval of version: Imran Adeel

**Conflict of Interest:** The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

#### REFERENCES

- Mitrousias V, Varitimidis SE, Hantes ME, Malizos KN, Arvanitis DL, Zibis AH. Anatomy learning from prosected cadaveric specimens versus threedimensional software: A comparative study of upper limb anatomy. Annals of Anatomy-Anatomischer Anzeiger 2018;218:156-64.
- Mitrousias V, Karachalios TS, Varitimidis SE, Natsis K, Arvanitis DL, Zibis AH. Anatomy learning from prosected cadaveric specimens versus plastic models: A comparative study of upper limb anatomy. Anatomical Sci Educ 2020.
- 3. Berezovsky DR, Bordoni B. Anatomy, Shoulder and Upper Limb, Forearm Arteries. Stat Pearls. Treasure Island (FL): Stat Pearls Publishing; August 13, 2020.
- 4. Sharrak S, M Das J. Hand Nerve Compression Syndromes. In: StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL): Stat Pearls Publishing; August 10, 2020.
- 5. Jimenez A, Marappa-Ganeshan R. Forearm Compartment Syndrome. In: StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; March 11, 2020.
- 6. Ryu J, Cooney WP III, Askew L J, An KN, Chao E. Functional Range of the wrist joint. J Hand Surg 1991; 16(3): 409-419.
- 7. Wei FC, Seah CS, Chen HC, Chuang CC. Functional and esthetic reconstruction of a mutilated hand using multiple toe transfers and iliac osteocutaneous flap: a case report. Microsurg 1993;14(6): 388e390.
- 8. Soft tissue reconstruction of the upper extremity. Grabb and Smith's Plastic Surgery, 7<sup>th</sup> ed. 737-748

- 9. Jabaiti S, Ahmad M, AlRyalat SA. Reconstruction of Upper Extremity Defects by Random Pedicle Abdominal Flaps: Is It Still a Valid Option?. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery Global Open 2020;8(3).
- Mathes SJ, Nahia F. Classification of the vascularanatomy of muscles: experimental and clinical correlation. PlastReconstr Surg 1981;67:177.
- 11. Zucker RM, Cleland HJ, Haswell T. Syndactyly correction of the hand in Apert syndrome. Clin Plast Surg 1991;18(2):357e364.
- 12. McGregor IA, Jackson IT. The groin flap. Br J Plast Surg 1972;25:3–16.
- 13. Pederson WC. Upper extremity microsurgery. Plast Reconstr Surg 2001;107:1524–1537; discussion 1538–9, 1540–3.
- 14. Sabapathy SR, Venkatramani H, Bhardwaj P. Reconstruction of the thumb amputation at the carpometacarpal joint level by groin flap and second toe transfer. Injury 2013;44(3):370e375.
- 15. Al-Qattan MM, Al-Qattan AM. Defining the indications of pedicled groin and abdominal flaps in hand reconstruction in the current microsurgery era. J Hand Surg Am 2016;41:917e927.
- Choudry UH, Moran SL, Li S, Khan S. Soft-tissue coverage of the elbow: an outcome analysis and reconstructive algorithm. Plastic and Reconstructive Surg 2007;119(6):1852-7.
- 17. Yamada N, Ui K, Uchinuma E. The use of a thin abdominal flap in degloving finger injuries. Br J Plast Surg 2001;54:434–438.
- Herter F, Ninkovic M, Ninkovic M. Rational flap selection and timing for coverage of complex upper extremity trauma. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg 2007;60:760–768.
- 19. Gousheh J, Arasteh E, Mafi P. Super-thin abdominal skin pedicle flap for the reconstruction of hypertrophic and contracted dorsal hand burn scars. Burns 2008;34:400–405.
- 20. Graf P, Biemer E. Morbidity of the groin flap transfer: are we getting something for nothing? Br J Plast Surg 1992;45:86–88.
- 21. Wang F, Liu S, Qiu L, et al. Superthin abdominal wall glove-like flap combined with vacuum-assisted closure therapy for soft tissue reconstruction in severely burned hands or with infection. Ann Plast Surg 2015;75:603–606.
- 22. Urushidate SI, Yotsuyanagi T, Yamauchi M, et al. Modified thin abdominal wall flap (glove flap) for the treatment of acute burns to the hands and fingers. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg 2010;63:693–699.