

# A Comparative Assessment of Root Canal Preparation, Employing Manual and Rotary Instrumentation Technique - An in Vitro Study

Hina Ahmed

Asstt. Prof. and Head of Operative Dentistry, Ziauddin University/ Ziauddin College of Dentistry, Karachi

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** To determine changes in root canal curvature after preparation with manual or rotary instrumentation technique and to determine maintenance of working length by either manual or rotary instrumentation technique.

**Study Design:** Experimental study

**Place and Duration of Study:** This study was carried out at Aga Khan University Hospital over a period of six months.

**Materials and Methods:** Mandibular molars, extracted due to caries or periodontal reasons and mesiobuccal canals, with curvature between 20- 40° were included. In Group A preparation was carried out with ProTaper instruments and in group B with manual NiTi files. An ISO #15 NiTi file was placed in the canal and radiograph taken to determine working length, radiograph was scanned, print made and canal curvature determined. Upon completion of preparation, radiograph with #30 NiTi file was taken and working length assessed. Same radiograph was scanned, and changes in curvature were assessed by comparing preoperative and postoperative prints. Data analysis was done with SPSS version 14.0 and Paired and Independent sample t tests.

**Results:** Difference in Pre and Post operative root canal curvature was lower in ProTaper group, but not satisfactorily significant. Working length was better maintained in ProTaper group as compared to group prepared with Manual Ni-Ti instruments.

**Conclusion:** ProTaper instrumentation technique maintained working length better than manual instrumentation technique. No difference in operative curvature was observed, although difference was smaller in ProTaper group.

**Key Words:** Pulpotomy, Rotary NiTi, Working length determination, Canal curvature, Apical Preparation.

## INTRODUCTION

Root canal preparation of curved root canals is associated with many procedural difficulties such as maintaining the shape of the curved canals. Instrumentation of curved canals is very difficult because conventional instruments are stiff and result in straightening of the canal which is associated with ledges, perforations, zips and elbows.<sup>1,2</sup> In order to eliminate some of the short comings of these traditional endodontic instruments, nickel titanium instruments have been developed.

Purpose of root canal filing is the achievement of a conical configuration, allowing a more effective filling and establishment of accurate length of the tooth during root canal treatment.<sup>3-6</sup>

Traditional stainless steel instruments, when used in severely curved canals, often fail to achieve the tapered root canal shape.<sup>1,7,8</sup> Enlargement of curved root canals with stainless steel (SS) files may result in instrumentation accidents.<sup>9</sup>

Nickel Titanium (NiTi) endodontic instruments have been shown to be more flexible than stainless steel instruments. NiTi-alloy has several advantages over stainless steel such as greater flexibility, shape memory effect and a better resistance to torsional fracture, the elastic limit for NiTi files has been shown to be two to three times that of stainless steel.<sup>10</sup>

During the last decade, several new nickel–titanium (Ni–Ti) instruments for rotary endodontic treatment have extended the endodontic armamentarium. Several investigations have shown the ability of some new rotary Ni–Ti systems to maintain the original root canal curvature well.<sup>11-13</sup>

The purpose of this study was to determine which instrumentation technique was better in maintaining root canal curvature and working length. Although many reports on root canal preparation can be found in the literature, definitive scientific evidence on the quality and clinical appropriateness of different instruments and techniques remains elusive. To a large extent this is because of methodological problems, making comparisons among different investigations difficult if not impossible.<sup>14</sup> Not many studies have compared manual and rotary instrumentation techniques and the results are still very contradicting regarding choice of the instrumentation technique. In addition, very few studies have been done in developing countries so far, therefore it was important to carry out a study which could help us in determining an instrumentation technique which was more beneficial in achieving the objectives of root canal treatment.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This In Vitro Quasi experimental study was carried over a period of six months at The Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi. Total sample size was sixty extracted molar teeth. The inclusion criteria for the study were human mandibular molars, extracted due to caries or periodontal reasons and mesiobuccal canal of mandibular molars, with curvature between 20-40 degrees as measured by Schneider's method.<sup>15,16</sup>

Teeth with calcified canals, internal or external resorption and with less than 20° curvature or severely curved canals with more than 40° curvature as measured by Schneider's method were excluded from the study. Teeth were randomly distributed into two boxes thirty teeth in each box, labeled 'A' and 'B'. Each group was assigned an instrumentation technique. This was done by a draw performed by a colleague, who was not related to the study. Group A: Prepared with rotary (ProTaper/ Dentsply) instruments. Group B: Prepared with manual instruments (Ni-Ti Files/ Dentsply).

Ethical Clearance: Ethical clearance from University Research Council of The Aga Khan University Hospital was obtained along with grant for conducting this study URC Project ID: 052024SUR.

Access cavities were prepared and occlusal surfaces reduced to solid flat reference points in both the groups. An ISO #15 Ni-Ti file was placed in the canal and radiograph was taken to determine working length 1.0 mm short of the radiographic apex and recorded for each canal. Radiographs were taken with the help of standardized XCP (Henry Schein) in mesiodistal direction using paralleling technique. For preoperative canal curvature assessment the same radiograph with #15 Ni-Ti file in the canal, was scanned and image transferred to computer, the image was magnified ten times (Adobe Photoshop 6.0), a print made and canal curvature measured and determined by Schneider's method. In group A instrumentation with rotary instruments was carried out according to

manufacturer's instructions. In group B instrumentation with manual technique was carried out with NiTi files using step back technique. Upon completion of root canal preparation in both the groups, post interventional radiograph with #30 NiTi master apical file was taken in order to assess changes in working length. The post interventional radiograph was scanned and transferred to computer, the image magnified ten times (Adobe Photoshop 6.0), a print made and canal curvature measured and determined by Schneider's method.

Deviation in canal curvature (degrees) was determined by comparing postoperative curvature measurements with preoperative values and changes in working length (mm) were determined by subtracting the final working length from original working length.

Data was analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 14.0. The difference in the pre and post operative readings of canal curvature and working length was compared using Paired samples t-test (within the group comparison) for the two procedures. Independent samples t-test (between the groups comparison) was used to compare the canal curvature and working length in the two groups. A p-value less than 0.05 were taken as statistically significant. Error graphs (Mean with 95% confidence intervals for mean) were also made for type of procedures, pre operative and post operative root canal curvature and working length.

## RESULTS

Root Canal Curvature in group A prepared with Rotary instruments was better maintained as compared to group B prepared with Manual instruments (Table 1). No significant difference was also observed between the two groups before procedure for root canal curvature. The average difference of the curvature in pre and post operation was found to be lower among Rotary technique when compared with manual technique (p-value=0.119) (Table 2).

**Table No.1: Mean Distribution of Manual and Rotary (ProTaper) Instrumentation Techniques with 95 percent Confidence Interval for the Difference**

| Manual or Rotary(Protaper) | Pre-operation | Post-operation | 95% Confidence Interval for the difference | p-value |
|----------------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------|---------|
|                            | Mean (SD)     | Mean (SD)      |                                            |         |
| Manual Working Length      | 17.2 (2.07)   | 16.3 (1.97)    | 0.9 (0.47, 1.33)                           | <0.001  |
| Rotary Working Length      | 16.9 (2.49)   | 16.6 (2.65)    | 0.3 (0.02, 0.45)                           | 0.032   |
| Manual Curvature           | 25.9 (5.35)   | 21.6 (5.31)    | 4.3 (3.2, 5.34)                            | <0.001  |
| Rotary Curvature           | 26.0 (5.19)   | 22.9 (4.53)    | 3.1 (2.04, 4.16)                           | <0.001  |

**Table No.2: Mean Difference (Pre – Post) Distribution of Manual and Rotary (Protaper) Instrumentation Techniques with 95 percent Confidence Interval for the Difference**

| Working Length or Curvature | Difference in pre-post Manual | Difference in pre-post Rotary (Protaper) | 95% Confidence Interval for the difference | p-value |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------|
|                             | Mean (SD)                     | Mean (SD)                                |                                            |         |
| Working Length              | -0.90 (1.15)                  | -0.23 (0.57)                             | -0.67 (-1.14, -0.19)                       | 0.007   |
| Curvature                   | -4.27 (2.86)                  | -3.10 (2.84)                             | -1.17 (-2.64, 0.31)                        | 0.119   |

Working length in group A prepared with Rotary instruments was better maintained as compared to the group B prepared with Manual instrument (Table I). No significant difference was observed between the two groups before procedure for working length. The average difference of the working length in pre and post operation was found to be significantly lower among Rotary technique when compared with manual technique ( $p$ -value=0.007) (Table 2).

## DISCUSSION

During instrumentation of root canal, development of a continuously tapered form and the maintenance of the original shape and position of the apical foramen are important objectives. Ledge formation, blockages, perforations and apical transportation are undesirable accidents observed following the preparation of curved root canals. Flexible nickel-titanium instruments have been effective in minimizing complications in narrow and curved canals.<sup>17-19</sup> To deal with the complex problem of preparing curved root canals, several instrumentation techniques have been introduced.<sup>20</sup>

Working length and canal curvature maintenance are two very important objectives to be obtained in root canal therapy by any instrumentation technique; therefore in order to achieve these objectives the author used Nickel Titanium files in both the groups. Several studies<sup>21-26</sup> have been carried out and have shown that that preparation with nickel-titanium files was more effective and produced more appropriate canal shapes than stainless steel files. They found that rotary instruments were able to maintain working length better. They concluded that ProTaper rotary instruments prepared curved root canals effectively and safely were able to maintain working length better than manual instruments ( $P < 0.05$ ),

In developing countries this area of endodontics needs to be evaluated, this system is used widely, there fore we felt a need to conduct a study in order to assess the capabilities of this system in maintaining working length and canal curvature and our results statistically prove that this system maintains working length and canal curvature better than manual Nickel Titanium instruments.

Following are the limitations of our study.

1. Can not generalize the results as they were performed by one operator.
2. Inter examiner reliability cannot be measured, since it was performed by one operator.
3. Bias: Due to single person examination, personal bias might be introduced, although precautions were made.

## CONCLUSION

Within the limits of this study, following conclusions were drawn:

- Rotary (ProTaper) instrumentation technique maintained working length better than manual instrumentation technique. On the other hand, no difference in the operative curvature was observed, although the difference was smaller in ProTaper group.
- ProTaper instruments prepared canals in extracted human mandibular molars without obvious procedural errors to a smooth tapered shape of appropriate sizes

**Acknowledgement:** The author acknowledges the support of the study site, the Aga Khan University Hospital and would like to thank her supervisor and cosupervisor, Dr. Durr-e-Sadaf and Dr. Munawar Rahman respectively along with dental faculty and residents specially Dr Nadia Aman, for their encouragement and support in conducting the study. Special thanks to Mr. Iqbal Azam, Assistant Professor, Biostatistics Department of Community Health Sciences (CHS) for the help offered in compiling and evaluating the data.

## REFERENCES

1. Schafer E, Tepel J, Hoppe W. Properties of endodontics hand instruments used in rotary motion. Part 2. Instrumentation of curved canals. J Endod 1995; 2: 493-7.
2. Bergmans E, Van Cleynenbreugel J, Wevers M, Lambrechts P. Mechanical root canal preparation with NiTi rotary instruments: rationale, performance and safety. Status report for the American Journal of Dentistry. Am J Dent 2001; 14: 324-33.
3. Bramante CM, Berbert A. A critical evaluation of some methods of determining tooth length. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1974; 37: 463-73.
4. Coolidge ED. Anatomy of the root apex in relation to treatment problems. J Am Dent Assoc 1929; 16: 1456-65.
5. Gutmann JL, Leonard JE. Problem solving in endodontic working-length determination. Compend Contin Educ Dent 1995; 16: 288-94.
6. Kuttler Y. Microscopic investigation of root apices. J Am Dent Assoc 1955; 50: 544-52.
7. Briseño BM, Sonnabend E. The influence of different root canal instruments on root canal preparation: an in vitro study. Int Endod J 1991; 24, 15-23.
8. Al-Omari MA, Dummer PM, Newcombe RG, Doller R. Comparison of six files to prepare simulated root canals. Int Endod J 1992; 25: 67-81.
9. Song YL, Bian Z, Fan B, Fan MW, Gutmann JL, Peng B. A comparison of instrument-centering ability within the root canal for three contemporary instrumentation techniques. Int Endod J 2004; 37: 265-71.

10. Walia H, Brantley WA, Gerstein H. An initial investigation of the bending and torsional properties of Nitinol root canal files. *J Endod* 1988; 14: 346-57.
11. Versümer J, Hülsmann M, Schafers F. A comparative study of root canal preparation using ProFile. 04 and Lightspeed rotary Ni-Ti instruments. *Int Endod J* 2002; 35: 37-46.
12. Hülsmann M, Gressmann G, Schäfers F. A comparative study of root canal preparation using FlexMaster and HERO 642 rotary Ni-Ti instruments. *Int Endod J* 2003; 36: 358-66.
13. Paqué F, Musch U, Hülsmann M. Comparison of root canal preparation using RaCe and ProTaper rotary Ni-Ti instruments. *Int Endod J* 2005; 38: 8-16.
14. Hülsmann, Michael, Peters, Ove A, Dummer, Paul M.H. Mechanical preparation of root canals: shaping goals, techniques and means. *Endodon Topics* 2005; 10: 30-76.
15. Schneider S. A comparison of canal preparation in straight and curved root canals. *Oral Surg* 1971; 32: 271-7.
16. Hankins P, ELDeeb M. An evaluation of the Canal Master, Balanced-Force and Step Back techniques. *J Endod* 1996; 22: 123-130.
17. Wu MK, Wesselink PR. Efficacy of three techniques in cleaning the apical portion of curved root canals. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1995; 79: 492-6.
18. Zmener O, Banegas G. Comparison of three instrumentation techniques in the preparation of simulated curved root canals. *Int Endod J* 1996; 29: 315-9.
19. Thompson SA, Dummer PM. Shaping ability of ProFile. 04 Taper Series 29 rotary nickel-titanium instruments in simulated root canals. Part 1. *Int Endod J* 1997; 30: 1-7.
20. Lopes HP, Elias CN, Estrela C, Siqueira JF. Assessment of the Apical Transportation of Root Canals Using the Method of the Curvature Radius. *Braz Dent J* 1998; 9: 39-45.
21. Bishop K, Dummer PM. A comparison of stainless steel Flexofiles and nickel-titanium NiTiFlex files during the shaping of simulated canals. *Int Endod J* 1997; 30: 25-34.
22. Yun HH, Kim SK. A comparison of the shaping abilities of 4 nickel-titanium rotary instruments in simulated root canals. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2003; 95: 228-33.
23. Sonnatg D, Deischen S, Stachniss V. Root canal shaping with manual and rotary NiTi files performed by students. *Int Endod J* 2003; 36: 715-23.
24. Garip Y, Gunday M. The use of computed tomography when comparing nickel-titanium and stainless steel during preparation of simulated curved canals. *Int Endod J* 2001; 34: 452-7.
25. Xu Q, Fan B, Fan MW, Bian Z. Clinical evaluation of Pro Taper NiTi rotary instruments in management of curved root canals. *Zhonghua Kou Qiang Yi Xue Za Zhi* 2004; 39: 136-8.
26. Guelzow A, Stamm O, Martus P, Kielbassa AM. Comparative study of six rotary nickel-titanium systems and hand instrumentation for root canal preparation. *Int Endod J* 2005; 38: 743-52.

**Address for Corresponding Author:**

Dr. Hina Ahmed  
103/III, 34<sup>th</sup> Street Off Khayaban-e-Saher,  
Phase V Extension DHA Karachi, Pakistan 75500.  
Email: hanaahmed5@hotmail.com  
Phone number: 0092-3002206486