**Original Article** 

# The PMF (Pulmonary massive Fibrosis) and the Exposure period to the Respirable Coal Dust

1. Bacha Amin Khan 2. Aziz Ahmad 3. Abdul Muneem

1. Asstt. Prof. of Medicine, Saidu Medical College, Saidu Sharif, Swat 2. Prof. of Medicine, Saidu Medical College, Saidu Sharif, Swat 3. Pulmonologist, Saidu Teaching Hospital.

## **ABSTRACT**

Objective: To determine the association between pulmonary massive fibrosis and duration of dust exposure in patients with coal worker pneumoconiosis.

**Study Design:** A cross sectional descriptive study.

Place and Duration of Study: This study was carried out in the Medicine department of Saidu Teaching Hospital Saidu Sharif swat from Jan 2007 to Dec 2011.

Materials and Methods: One hundred and twenty (120) patients suffering from coal worker pneumoconiosis were included. They were either already diagnosed patients of coal worker pneumoconiosis or newly diagnosed symptomatic patients.

Results: Forty four (36.6%) had simple coal worker pneumoconiosis, Forty six (38.3%) had complicated coal worker pneumoconiosis and thirty (25%) had PMF, in which twenty patients (66.6%) had exposure of more than twenty years. The results were analysed using the chisquaretest with a p value of 0.00000472. There is clear association between PMF and exposure period to the respirable coal dust.

**Conclusion:** We conclude that prolonged period of exposure has association with the development of PMF.

**Key Words:** Coal dust, pneumoconiosis, PMF (pulmonary massive fibrosis).

## INTRODUCTION

Coal worker's pneumoconiosis is a progressive parenchymal lung disease 1. It is caused by the inhalation of respirable coal dust <sup>2</sup>. This dust contains fibrogenic material like Iron and Pyrite <sup>3</sup>which initiates an inflammatory response by the release of different cytokines<sup>4,5</sup>. The fibroblast growth factor and transforming growth factor are considered the main cytokines for causing fibrosis 6. Based on the radiological features coal worker's pneumoconiosis is divided in threeCategories (I) Simple coal worker pneumoconiosis,(II) complicated coal worker pneumoconiosis(III) Pulmonary massive fibrosis abbreviated as PMF.<sup>7</sup>

In simple coal worker pneumoconiosis pulmonary nodules are less than 1cm in size and dominating the upper lobes.In complicated coal worker pneumoconiosis there are multiple nodules and the size of each nodule is more than 1cm.In PMF the nodules are more than 1cm in size and they fuse together. The period of exposure as well as the concentration of respirablecoal dust is important in the working environment<sup>8</sup> .Lack of preventive measures and prolonged exposure has an impact on the final outcome 9. However all those who are exposed don't develop coal worker pneumoconiosis and PMF, So other factors may be interplaying like smoking, working in small mines (employing less than 50 people), 10, 11 and genetic factors 12, 13.

In developed world the condition is detected earlier through Coal worker X-ray surveillance programme( CWXSP), and every coal worker has to undergo X-Ray

examination every five years 14 .PMF is a major health problem in our country because effective legislation and preventive measures are lacking. This study was done to establish the association between PMF and duration of exposure to the respirable coal dust.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was done from Jan 2007 to Dec 2011. A total of 120 patients were included in the study. They were either already diagnosed patients of coal worker pneumoconiosis or newly diagnosed symptomatic patients. The history, age at which exposure started and stopped, duration of exposure, smoking status, the use of face mask during mining, the old x-ray finding, and new x-ray findings were recorded.

At the end of study period X-Ray findings were classified as (I) simple coal worker pneumoconiosis, (II) complicated coal worker pneumoconiosis (III) PMF. As the exposure period was variable we operationalized this variable by dividing it in to three groups:

(I)Exposure less than 10 years at work place (II) Exposure between 10-20 years at work place

(III)Exposure more than 20 years at work place .The results and association between exposure and PMF were statistically analysed using the chi square test of independence.

## **RESULTS**

All patients (120) were males. The age was ranging from 25 years to 60 years.80% patients belonged to Shangla district while 20% belonged to different parts of malakand division. All patients had active exposure at work place mainly at underground levels. Minimum age of exposure was 15 years and maximum at which exposure started was 40years. Minimum age at which exposure stopped was 25 years and maximum age at which exposure stopped was 60 years. Minimum period of exposure was 5 years and maximum period of exposure was 25 years.

80% patients developed symptoms while still at work while 20% developed symptoms after leaving the work place.85% patients were not using any face mask. Main symptoms were chest tightness and shortness of breath. Forty fourpatients (36.6%) had simple coal workerpneumoconiosis, Forty six patients (38.3%) had complicated coal workerpneumoconiosis and thirtypatients (25%) had PMF (pulmonary massive fibrosis).

When the category of patients with PMF (N=30) was further analysed it was found that 20(66.6%) had exposure of more than 20years, 6(20%) had exposure of 10-20 years and only 4(13.3%) had exposure of less than 10years.

Table 1 shows that prolonging the period of exposure increases the chances of PMF. Although 13.33% patients with PMF had exposure of less than 10 years. When the results were statistically analysed using the chi square test ( $\chi^2$ =30.77 ,df=4) the observed and expected values are given in the table 2. The p value was calculated to be 0.00000472 suggesting statistically significant association between PMF and exposure period to the respirable coal dust.

The results in the parenthesis are expected values which are close to the observed values.

Table No.1: Categories of coal worker pneumoconiosis and association with the exposure period in years.

| Groups | No  | Exposure in | Simple coal worker    | Complicated coal worker | PMF        |
|--------|-----|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------|
|        |     | years       | pneumoconiosis No (%) | pneumoconiosis No (%)   | No (%)     |
| I      | 59  | <10         | 29(65.9%)             | 26(56.52%)              | 4(13.33%)  |
| II     | 25  | 10-20       | 10(22.72%)            | 9(19.56%)               | 6(20%)     |
| III    | 36  | >20         | 5(11.36%)             | 11(23.9%)               | 20(66.66%) |
| Total  | 120 |             | 44(36.6%)             | 46(38.3%)               | 30(25%)    |

Table No.2: Period of exposure and development of PMF

| Groups | No  | Exposure in | Simple CWP | Complicated | PMF        |
|--------|-----|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|
|        |     | years       |            | CWP         |            |
|        |     |             | Observed   | Observed    | Observed   |
|        |     |             | (Expected) | (Expected)  | (expected) |
| I      | 59  | <10         | 29(21.6)   | 26(22.6)    | 4(14.8)    |
| II     | 25  | 10-20       | 10(9.17)   | 9(9.58)     | 6(6.25)    |
| III    | 36  | >20         | 5(13.2)    | 11(13.8)    | 20(9.00)   |
| Total  | 120 |             | 44         | 46          | 30         |

cwp=coal worker pneumoconiosis, PMF= Pulmonary massive fibrosis

## **DISCUSSION**

Coal worker pneumoconiosis and PMF are serious health problems. All our patients were males because females are not involved in mining industry at present. However many other studies have shown that males are dominating this profession<sup>15</sup>. All our patients were symptomatic because they seek medical advice late and we are lacking in enforced X-rays surveillance program .Majority of our patients belonged to Shangla district. The reason is that majority of the labour go to Baluchistan and other parts of the country for coal mining. Majority of these patients were not using any face mask and were working under unprotected conditions which increased the chances of development of PMF 15, 16. In this study 25% had PMF and out of this 66.6% had exposure period of more than 20 years. This percentage is higher than some other studies carried out in USA 15, 16. This may point to the poor working conditions and high concentration of the dust and failure to detect cases earlier<sup>16</sup>. Moreover there is lack of education and lack of awareness about the hazards of

coal dust. This has also been shown by the study of Sadia Ashraf<sup>17</sup>. Awareness on the part of family physicians and GP is also important, as majority of coal worker pneumoconiosis patients may be minimally symptomatic<sup>18</sup>. However progression may occur after stopping working at coal mines <sup>19</sup>. So regular follow up is necessary. There are limitations to this study. This is not a multicentre study and was carried out in one of the tertiary care hospital of malakand division and not necessarily represents the whole of the country. Moreover it is not possible to directly inspect the working conditions as most of the coal mines are in Baluchistan and tribal areas.

#### CONCLUSION

We conclude that PMF has close association with the duration of exposure to the coal dust.

#### **Recommendation:**

Effective X-Ray surveillance programme to detect the cases earlier, laws to enforce the use of face mask at

work place and to build residential colonies away from the mines.

## **Acknowledgements:**

We are thankful to Professor Riaz Ali controller of Examination University of swat for his valuable help in statistical calculation.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Coal worker's pneumoconiosis related years of potential life lost before age 65 years.united states 1968-2006. MMWR Morb Mort Wkly rep 2009 Dec 25:58(50)1412-16(Pub med).
- Speizer FE. Environmental lung diseases. In: Kaiser DL, Fauci AS, Longo DL, et al, editors. Harrison's principles of Internal Medicine. 16<sup>th</sup> ed. McGraw hill; 1521-1524.
- 3. Borm PJA, Drsicoll KE. Particles, inflammation and Respiratory Tract Carcinogenesis. Toxicology 1996;110:1-5.
- 4. Heppleston AG, Kulonen E, Potila M. In vitro assessment of fibrogenecity of mineral dusts. Am J Industrial Med 1984;6:373-336.
- 5. Paul JAB. Toxicity and occupational health hazards of coal mine dust. Ann occuphyg 1997; 41(6):659-676.
- 6. Vanhee D, Gosset P, Wallaert B, Voisine, Tonnel AB. Mechanism of fibrosis in coal worker pneumoconiosis-increased production of platelet derived growth factor, insulin like growth factor and transforming growth factor β and relationship to disease severity. Am J Res Crit care Med 1994;150,1049-1055.
- International labour office Guidelines for the use of ILO International classification of Pneumoconiosis, Geneva, Switzerland.International Labour Office 1980.
- 8. Parobeckps, Jankowski. Assesment of the respirable dust levels in the nations underground and surface coal mining operations. Am Indhyg Assoc J 1979;40:910-5
- 9. Althouse R, Attifeld M, Killie S. Use of data from x-ray screening programme for Coal worker to evaluate effectiveness of 2mg/m3 coal dust standard. J Occup Med 1986;28:741-5.
- 10. Jacobsen M. Progression of coal worker's pneumoconiosis in Britain in relation to environmental conditions underground. proceedings of the conference on the technical

- measures of dust prevention and suppression in Mira. Luxemberg. Commission of the European community's 1972;77-93
- 11. Report of the statistical task team of the local mine respirable dust task group. Washington DC. US Department of labour, mine safety and health administration, September 1993.
- 12. Bern PJA, Schins RFP. Genotype and Phenotype in susceptibility to coal worker's pneumoconiosis. The use of cytokines in perspective. Eur Respir J 2001;(Supp 32):1275-1335.
- 13. Yao, Wu, Hao, et al. The effect of the TGF-1.gene polymorphism on genetic susceptibility of coal worker's pneumoconiosis. Xiangdaiyufangyixue 2006;33(10):1875-1877.
- 14. Centre for disease control and prevention. Pneumoconiosis prevalence among working coal miners examined in federal chest radiograph survelliance programme United states 1996-2002 MMWR mort morbwkly Rep 2003;52:336-40.
- 15. S Antao Vedos, petsonk EL, Sokolow L, et al. Rapidly progressive coal Worker pneumoconiosis in the united states; geographic clustering and other factors. Occup Environ Med 2005;62;670-674.
- 16. Laney AS, Altfield MD. Coal worker's pneumoconiosis and progressive massive fibrosis are increasingly more prevalent among workers in Snull underground coal mines in the United States. Occup Environ Med 2010;67;428-431.
- 17. Ashraf S, Zaman M, Ashraf A. knowledge, attitude perception (KAP)of coal mine worker of Shangla district regarding occupation safety. Pak J Chest Med 2005;11(1):11-17.
- 18. Zaman M, Ashraf S, Ahraf A. Pulmonary function tests and chest x ray findings in coal mine workers with respiratory symptoms. Pak J chest Med 2006;12(1):3-10.
- 19. Shah N, Yaqoob M. Coal workers pneumoconiosis an experience with 58 cases of coal mine workers in the southern districts of NWFP. J Post Grad Med inst 2005; 19(1):36-40.

## Address for Corresponding Author: Dr.Bacha Amin Khan,

Assistant Professor of Medicine SaiduMedical College SaiduSharif Swat. E-mail:bacha66@hotmail.com Cell #: 0301-8067612